

Applying Generic Programming to Image Processing



T. Géraud, Y. Fabre, A. Duret-Lutz



EPITA Research and Development Laboratory
<http://www.lrde.epita.fr>

Applying GP to IP



- Problem to solve
- Programming Paradigms
 - C-like
 - between C and C++
 - classic C++
 - generic C++
- Conclusion

Problem to solve

1/2



- A general-purpose library dedicated to IP.
- A lot of different applications in IP →
 - many image types nI
2D images, 3D images...
 - many data types nD
integers, floats, RGB...
 - many algorithms nA
e.g. add a constant value to pixel values...

Problem to solve

2/2

- Number of IP operators: $nI \times nD \times nA$
- Implementing an algorithm →
 - $nI \times nD$ types to handle
 - i.e. $nI \times nD$ procedures to code 
 - most of libraries are restricted to very few input types!
- We want a single and efficient procedure per algorithm...

Programming paradigms



- Most libraries have a C-like style.
- Few libraries are (poorly) object-oriented.
- The GP paradigm is quite new.

Warning: you are going to see some code
and for clarity and shortening purposes
some syntactic sugar has been dissolved.

C-like programming

1/3

- This 2D image structure is generic *vis-à-vis* the data type:

```
struct Image2D
{
    int      nrows;
    int      ncols;
    type_t   datatype;
    void*   data;
};
```

C-like programming

2/3

- This procedure seems to be generic *vis-à-vis* the data type:

```
void add( Image2D* ima, double val ) {
    switch ( ima->datatype ) {
        case INT_U8:
            for ( i = 0; i < ima->nrows; ++i )
                for ( j = 0; j < ima->ncols; ++j )
                    *(ima->data)[i][j] += *(val);
            break;
        case ...
    }
}
```

C-like programming

3/3



- Actually, we have $nI \times nD$ procedures per algorithm.
- C-like paradigm leads to:
 - no code reusability,
 - tedious coding & maintaining processes,
 - limited libraries.

Between C and C++

1/3

- This 2D image structure is also generic *vis-à-vis* the data type:

```
template< typename T >
class Image2D
{
    int nrows;
    int ncols;
    T* data;
};

Image2D<int_u8>
Image2D<double>
Image2D<bool>
Image2D<RGB>
```

Between C and C++

2/3

- But now this procedure is truly generic *vis-à-vis* the data type:

```
template< typename T >
void add( Image2D<T>* ima, T val )
{
    for (i = 0; i < ima->nrows; ++i)
        for (j = 0; j < ima->ncols; ++j)
            ima->data[i][j] += val;
}
```

Between C and C++

3/3



- We have only nl procedures per algorithm
😊
- but we are not yet generic *vis-à-vis* the image type...
😢

- An image is an *abstract* type which declares an *abstract method* :

```
template< typename T > class Image {  
    Iterator<T>& create_i( ) = 0;  
};
```

- An iterator is used to browse data:

```
template< typename T > class Iterator {  
    void first( ) = 0;    T& data( ) = 0;    ...  
};
```

Classic C++

2/5

- A 2D image is an *image* (inheritance):

```
template< typename T >
class Image2D : public Image<T> {
    int nrows;
    int ncols;
    T* data;
    Iterator2D<T>& create_i() { ... }
};
```

- and can create the proper iterator...

- ...which is a 2D iterator:

```
template< typename T >
class Iterator2D : public Iterator<T> {
    int row;
    int col;
    Image2D<T>& ima;
    void first() { row = col = 0; }
    T& data() { return ima.data[row][col]; }
    ...
};
```

- Last, this procedure is fully generic:

```
template< typename T >
void add( Image<T>& ima, T val )
{
    Iterator<T>& i = ima.create_i();
    for (i.first(); i.is_ok(); i.next())
        i.data() += val;
}
```

- but *abstract method calls* are prohibitive.

Classic C++

5/5



- We have solved the genericity problem
😊
- but we now have a performance problem!
😢

Generic C++

1/5

- From the 2D image, we can deduce types:

```
template< typename T >
class Image2D {
    int nrows;
    int ncols;
    T* data;

    typedef Iterator2D<T> iterator_t;
    typedef T data_t;
};
```

Generic C++

2/5

- The procedure is parameterized by the input type **I** and type aliases are used:

```
template< typename I >
void add( I& ima, I::data_t val )
{
    I::iterator_t i( ima );
    for (i.first(); i.is_ok()→; i.next()→)
        i.data()→ += val;
}
```

Generic C++

3/5

- At a procedure call, types are resolved by the compiler; so, we can have:

```
void add( Image2D<float>& ima, float val )
{
    Iterator2D<float> i( ima );
    for (i.first(); i.is_ok()→; i.next()→)
        i.data()→ += val;
}
```

Generic C++

4/5

and this code is expanded by the compiler before the binary code is produced:

```
void add( Image2D<float>& ima, float val )
{
    i.row = i.col = 0; /* i.first(); */
    while ( i.row < ima.nrows /* i.is_ok() */ )
    {
        ima.data[i.row][i.col] /* i.data() */ += val;
        /* i.next(); : */
        if (++i.col == ima.ncols) { i.col=0; ++i.row; }
    }
}
```

Generic C++

5/5

- We have fully generic procedures
and
- they are efficient at run-time.



Moreover

- implementation is very close to algorithm description in natural language.

Programming paradigms

	G./data	G./image	efficiency
C	--	--	+
C+	+	--	+
C++	+	+	--
GP	+	+	+

Conclusion



- Generic Programming is a relevant paradigm for scientific computing such as image processing.
- This paradigm is not so easy to handle for complex algorithms.
- A first release at Dec. 2001:
our IP library *milena*...