Morphological Hierarchical Image Decomposition Based on Laplacian 0-Crossings

Lê Duy HUÌNH, Yongchao XU, Thierry GÉRAUD





(D) (20)

EPITA Research & Development Laboratory (LRDE)

ISMM, Fontainebleau, May 2017

Overview

Overview

Text detection method presented in ICPR [huynh et al. ICPR 2016]:

 input
 label image
 detected text boxes

 Image
 Image
 Image

 Image
 Image

 Image
 Image

 Image
 Image

The underlying structure is

- a hierarchical representation,
- based on 0-crossings of Laplacian,
- constructed with quasi-linear time complexity.

ightarrow Computation of Tree of Shapes of Laplacian sign (ToSL)

Outline

Theoretical Background

- Morphological Laplace Operator
- Well-Composed Images
- Tree of Shapes

2 Computation of Tree of Shapes of Laplacian sign

- ToSL Construction
- Optimized ToSL Construction

3 Conclusion

3 K (3 K

5 1 SQC

Morphological Laplace Operator

The morphological Laplace operator: $\Delta_{\Box} = \delta_{\Box} + \varepsilon_{\Box} - 2id$



The morphological Laplace operator is **simple**, **self-dual**, and provides **closed contour**.

A B M A B M

ELE DOG

Morphological Laplace Operator

The morphological Laplace operator: $\Delta_{\Box} = \delta_{\Box} + \varepsilon_{\Box} - 2id$



The morphological Laplace operator is robust to uneven illumination.

-

Well-composed Images

In 2D well-composed images:

- All connectivities are equivalent [Latecki JMIV 1998].
- There are no "critical configurations": (🖬 or ы).
- \Rightarrow No connectivity ambiguity. All contours are Jordan curves



A transformation that removes critical configurations make an image well-composed

ISMM, Fontainebleau, 2017

Well-composed Interpolation

How to obtain a well composed image:

- Modification of the pixel values: modify images topology.
- Interpolation: image has 4 times number of pixels.

Interpolation methods:

- Local interpolation (e.g., by min, max, median operator).
- Non-local interpolation (e.g. [Boutry et al. ISMM 2015].



Tree of Shapes (ToS)

• A self-dual fusion of min-tree and max-tree



ISMM, Fontainebleau, 2017

= nac

Tree of Shapes (ToS)

• An inclusion tree of level lines



Image and its level lines (every 5 levels)

A B < A B <</p>

-

Computation of tree of shapes of Laplacian sign(ToSL)

Tree of Shapes of Laplacian sign (ToSL)



ToSL construction

ToSL is represented by a label map and a parent table:



The root node:

- is defined as the sign of median of all points on the contour,
- has itself as its parent.

(B)

ELE SQC

ToSL construction

ToSL construction - Implementation



Main steps:

1. Init an empty labeling map ${\mathfrak L}$

2. Scan $\mathfrak L$ for unlabeled pixel

3. Get properties \mathcal{P} of unlabeled CC ¹, assign a label (new or its parent's label), and update parentArray

4. Label CC. Continue step 2 until a fully labeled map is obtained

ELE DOG

^{−1}it could be contour length, gradient magnitude, height,⊐width… < ≥)

Compute the morphological Laplacian $\Delta_{\Box}(u)$



I \blacksquare : positive, negative, and zeroes of Δ

L.Huynh et al. (EPITA-LRDE)

Compute $\Delta_{\Box}^{\mathrm{wc}}(u)$, and create an empty label map \mathfrak{L}



□:unlabeled, ■: unlabeled marked border, □ ■ ■ =: different labels \blacksquare : positive, negative, and zeroes of Δ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三回日 ののの

L.Huynh et al. (EPITA-LRDE)

Hierarchical " $\Delta = 0$ " Decomposition

ISMM, Fontainebleau, 2017 13 / 20

Label first CC and mark inner border



□:unlabeled, ■: unlabeled marked border, □ ■ ■ =: different labels \blacksquare : positive, negative, and zeroes of Δ

L.Huynh et al. (EPITA-LRDE)

Hierarchical " $\Delta = 0$ " Decomposition

ISMM, Fontainebleau, 2017 13 / 20

Scan $\mathfrak L$ for unlabeled pixel. Check properties of new region



□:unlabeled, ■: unlabeled marked border, □ ■ ■: different labels ■ ■ ■: positive, negative, and zeroes of Δ

L.Huynh et al. (EPITA-LRDE)

Hierarchical " $\Delta = 0$ " Decomposition

n ISMM, Fontainebleau, 2017

ebleau, 2017 13 / 20

Label second CC and mark inner border



□:unlabeled, ■: unlabeled marked border, □ ■ ■: different labels ■ ■ : positive, negative, and zeroes of Δ

L.Huynh et al. (EPITA-LRDE)

Hierarchical " $\Delta = 0$ " Decomposition

sition ISMM, Fo

ISMM, Fontainebleau, 2017 13 / 20

I= nan

Scan \mathfrak{L} for unlabeled pixel. Check properties of new region



□:unlabeled, ■: unlabeled marked border, □ ■ ■ =: different labels \blacksquare : positive, negative, and zeroes of Δ

L.Huynh et al. (EPITA-LRDE)

Hierarchical " $\Delta = 0$ " Decomposition

ISMM, Fontainebleau, 2017 13 / 20

I DOC

Filter out third CC which is small



□:unlabeled, ■: unlabeled marked border, □ ■ ■: different labels ■ ■ : positive, negative, and zeroes of Δ

L.Huỳnh et al. (EPITA-LRDE)

Hierarchical " $\Delta = 0$ " Decomposition

sition ISMM, For

ISMM, Fontainebleau, 2017 13 / 20

1 = nar

Scan \mathfrak{L} for unlabeled pixel. Check properties of new region



□:unlabeled, ■: unlabeled marked border, □ ■ ■ =: different labels \blacksquare : positive, negative, and zeroes of Δ

L.Huynh et al. (EPITA-LRDE)

Hierarchical " $\Delta = 0$ " Decomposition

ISMM, Fontainebleau, 2017 13 / 20

I DOC

Label forth CC



□:unlabeled, ■: unlabeled marked border, □ ■ ■ =: different labels \blacksquare : positive, negative, and zeroes of Δ

L.Huynh et al. (EPITA-LRDE)

Hierarchical " $\Delta = 0$ " Decomposition

ISMM, Fontainebleau, 2017 13 / 20

Scan \mathfrak{L} for unlabeled pixel. Check properties of new region



□:unlabeled, ■: unlabeled marked border, □ ■ ■ =: different labels \blacksquare : positive, negative, and zeroes of Δ

L.Huynh et al. (EPITA-LRDE)

Hierarchical " $\Delta = 0$ " Decomposition

ISMM, Fontainebleau, 2017 13 / 20

I DOC

Filter out fifth CC which is small



□:unlabeled, ■: unlabeled marked border, □ ■ ■ =: different labels \blacksquare : positive, negative, and zeroes of Δ

L.Huynh et al. (EPITA-LRDE)

Hierarchical " $\Delta = 0$ " Decomposition

ISMM, Fontainebleau, 2017

Scan \mathfrak{L} for unlabeled pixel. Check properties of new region



□:unlabeled, ■: unlabeled marked border, □ ■ ■ =: different labels \blacksquare : positive, negative, and zeroes of Δ

L.Huynh et al. (EPITA-LRDE)

Hierarchical " $\Delta = 0$ " Decomposition

ISMM, Fontainebleau, 2017 13 / 20

Label sixth CC



□:unlabeled, ■: unlabeled marked border, □ ■ ■ =: different labels \blacksquare : positive, negative, and zeroes of Δ

L.Huynh et al. (EPITA-LRDE)

Hierarchical " $\Delta = 0$ " Decomposition

ISMM, Fontainebleau, 2017 13 / 20

Scan \mathfrak{L} for unlabeled pixel, there is no unlabeled pixels



□:unlabeled, ■: unlabeled marked border, □ ■ ■ =: different labels \blacksquare : positive, negative, and zeroes of Δ

L.Huynh et al. (EPITA-LRDE)

Hierarchical " $\Delta = 0$ " Decomposition

ISMM, Fontainebleau, 2017

Go back to original space



□:unlabeled, ■: unlabeled marked border, □ ■ ■ =: different labels \blacksquare : positive, negative, and zeroes of Δ

L.Huynh et al. (EPITA-LRDE)

Hierarchical " $\Delta = 0$ " Decomposition

ISMM, Fontainebleau, 2017 13 / 20

ELE NOR

A particular Well-composed Non-local interpolation

Our non-local interpolation:

- Only take into account the sign of $\Delta_{\Box}(u)$,
- New pixels are calculated by:

 $f(a_1, a_2..., a_n) = \begin{cases} sign(a_1) & sign(a_1) = sign(a_2)... = sign(a_n) \\ \chi & \exists a_h, a_k; sign(a_h) \neq sign(a_k) \end{cases}$ where χ is the sign of outside CC

 \rightarrow f is self-dual, symmetrical, and in-between.



A particular Well-composed Non-local interpolation

This interpolation:

- Follows the same scheme as our ToSL construction,
- Its topological behavior is deterministic,
- It could be easily emulated.



1 = nar

A particular Well-composed Non-local interpolation

Output of this non-local interpolation on original map:

- At critical configurations (■ or ■):
 - Two pixels labeled first are connected (\mathbb{N}_8) ,
 - Others two are separated (\mathbb{N}_4) .
- At other configurations, \mathbb{N}_8 or \mathbb{N}_4 are equivalent.



Modification

Some small modification is needed (red) so that we use:

- \mathbb{N}_8 for normal pixels,
- \mathbb{N}_4 for pixels marked as border.
- \longrightarrow No need to process 4 times the number of image pixels.



* \mathbb{N}_{x} : x-connected neighborhood

L.Huynh et al. (EPITA-LRDE)

(日) (周) (日) (日) (日) (日) (000)

Optimized ToSL Construction

This optimization allows us to:

- directly compute ToSL from $\Delta_{\Box}(u)$,
- obtain the same topology as by using interpolation method.



different labels \blacksquare : positive, negative, and zeroes of Δ

L.Huynh et al. (EPITA-LRDE)

ISMM, Fontainebleau, 2017

Conclusion

We have presented a morphological hierarchical image decomposition based on morphological Laplacian that:

- is computed with linear time complexity,
- allows objects extraction with precis contour,
- performs well in presence of uneven illumination.



Questions and Answers

Thanks for your attention!

L.Huynh et al. (EPITA-LRDE)

Hierarchical " $\Delta = 0$ " Decomposition

From the Morphological Laplacian $\Delta_{\Box}(u)$



 $\blacksquare\blacksquare$: positive, negative, and zeroes of Δ

L.Huynh et al. (EPITA-LRDE)

Compute $\Delta^{\mathrm{wc}}_{\Box}(u)$ create an empty labeling map $\mathfrak L$



□:unlabeled, ■: unlabeled marked border, □ ■ ■: different labels ■ ■: positive, negative, and zeroes of Δ

L.Huynh et al. (EPITA-LRDE)

Hierarchical " $\Delta = 0$ " Decomposition

ISMM, Fontainebleau, 2017

ELE SOC

Label first CC and mark inner border



□:unlabeled, ■: unlabeled marked border, □ ■ ■: different labels ■ ■ ■: positive, negative, and zeroes of Δ

L.Huynh et al. (EPITA-LRDE)

Hierarchical " $\Delta = 0$ " Decomposition

ISMM, Fontainebleau, 2017

ELE NOR

Scan $\mathfrak L$ for unlabeled pixel. Check properties of new region



□:unlabeled, ■: unlabeled marked border, □ ■ ■: different labels ■ ■ ■: positive, negative, and zeroes of Δ

L.Huynh et al. (EPITA-LRDE)

Hierarchical " $\Delta = 0$ " Decomposition

ISMM, Fontainebleau, 2017

Label second CC and mark inner border



□:unlabeled, ■: unlabeled marked border, □ ■ ■: different labels ■ ■ ■: positive, negative, and zeroes of Δ

L.Huynh et al. (EPITA-LRDE)

Hierarchical " $\Delta = 0$ " Decomposition

ISMM, Fontainebleau, 2017

ELE NOR

Scan $\mathfrak L$ for unlabeled pixel. Check properties of new region



□:unlabeled, ■: unlabeled marked border, □ ■ ■: different labels ■ ■ ■: positive, negative, and zeroes of Δ

L.Huynh et al. (EPITA-LRDE)

Hierarchical " $\Delta = 0$ " Decomposition

ISMM, Fontainebleau, 2017

ELE NOR

Filter out third CC which is small



□:unlabeled, ■: unlabeled marked border, □ ■ ■: different labels ■ ■ ■: positive, negative, and zeroes of Δ

L.Huynh et al. (EPITA-LRDE)

Hierarchical " $\Delta = 0$ " Decomposition

ISMM, Fontainebleau, 2017

ELE NOR

Scan $\mathfrak L$ for unlabeled pixel. Check properties of new region



□:unlabeled, ■: unlabeled marked border, □ ■ ■: different labels ■ ■ ■: positive, negative, and zeroes of Δ

L.Huynh et al. (EPITA-LRDE)

Hierarchical " $\Delta = 0$ " Decomposition

ISMM, Fontainebleau, 2017

ELE NOR

Label forth CC



□:unlabeled, ■: unlabeled marked border, □ ■ ■: different labels ■ ■ ■: positive, negative, and zeroes of Δ

L.Huynh et al. (EPITA-LRDE)

Hierarchical " $\Delta = 0$ " Decomposition

ISMM, Fontainebleau, 2017

ELE NOR

Scan $\mathfrak L$ for unlabeled pixel. Check properties of new region



□:unlabeled, ■: unlabeled marked border, □ ■ ■: different labels ■ ■ ■: positive, negative, and zeroes of Δ

L.Huynh et al. (EPITA-LRDE)

Hierarchical " $\Delta = 0$ " Decomposition

ISMM, Fontainebleau, 2017

Filter out fifth CC which is small



□:unlabeled, ■: unlabeled marked border, □ ■ ■: different labels ■ ■ ■: positive, negative, and zeroes of Δ

L.Huynh et al. (EPITA-LRDE)

Hierarchical " $\Delta = 0$ " Decomposition

ISMM, Fontainebleau, 2017

ELE NOR

Scan $\mathfrak L$ for unlabeled pixel. Check properties of new region



□:unlabeled, ■: unlabeled marked border, □ ■ ■: different labels ■ ■ : positive, negative, and zeroes of Δ

L.Huynh et al. (EPITA-LRDE)

Hierarchical " $\Delta = 0$ " Decomposition

ISMM, Fontainebleau, 2017

ELE NOR

Label sixth CC



□:unlabeled, ■: unlabeled marked border, □ ■ ■: different labels ■ ■: positive, negative, and zeroes of Δ

L.Huynh et al. (EPITA-LRDE)

Hierarchical " $\Delta = 0$ " Decomposition

ISMM, Fontainebleau, 2017

ELE NOR

 $\mathsf{Scan}\ \mathfrak{L}$ for unlabeled pixel, there is no unlabeled pixels



□:unlabeled, ■: unlabeled marked border, □ ■ ■: different labels ■ ■: positive, negative, and zeroes of Δ

L.Huynh et al. (EPITA-LRDE)

Hierarchical " $\Delta = 0$ " Decomposition

ISMM, Fontainebleau, 2017

ELE NOR

Return to original space



□:unlabeled, ■: unlabeled marked border, □ ■ ■: different labels ■ ■ ■: positive, negative, and zeroes of Δ

L.Huynh et al. (EPITA-LRDE)

Hierarchical " $\Delta = 0$ " Decomposition

ISMM, Fontainebleau, 2017

ELE NOR