Olena & Milena in a Few Words

EPITA Research and Development Laboratory (LRDE)

May 2009

Olena & Milena in a Few Words

EPITA-LRDE 2009 1 / 44

э

(a)

Outline

Outline



Comparison

Current Status
 Library
 Dynamic Interfact

E

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Outline

Outline



- Genericity
- Comparison



Dynamic Interface

E

・ロト ・回 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

Presentation

Outline



Comparison

Library Dynamic Interface

臣

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Presentation Genericity Comparison

Project 1/2

Naming

Olena : image processing^a platform (also project name) **Milena** : image processing library = part of Olena

^a IP, image processing for short

Goals

- Focus on the library part (Milena)
- 2 Add a scripting layer (interpreted environment).
- Add extra tools

(visual env., interface with The GIMP, Octave, etc.)

Presentation Genericity Comparison

Project 2/2

Rational

Features: platform features come from the library

Limitations: library limitations are viral: they affect the platform

A Couple of Key Ideas

Operators: too many things in IP (algorithms, methods...)

Objectives: instead, to ease programming IP

(I)

Presentation Genericity Comparison

What's In a Library

Algorithms:

procedures dedicated to image processing and pattern recognition

Data types for pixel values:

gray level types with different quantizations, several floating types, color types

Data structures:

for instance, many ways to define images and sets of points

A lot of auxiliary tools:

they help to easily write readable algorithms and methods in a concise way!

Presentation Genericity Comparison

Objectives of Milena as a Feature List

Genericitynot limited to very few types of values and imagesSimplicityas easy to use as a C or Java libraryEfficiencyready to intensive computation (large data / sets of data)Composabilitycoherency of tools ensure software building from blocksSafetyerrors are pointed out at compile-time, otherwise at run-timeReusabilitysoftware blocks are provided for general purpose

Getting at the same time all those features is very challenging.

Presentation Genericity Comparison

History

	Version	Features	Misfeatures
2000-01	0.1	genericity w.r.t. values	rectangular 2D images only!
2001-04	0.10	genericity w.r.t. both	limitations
		structures and values	(Cf. next slides)
2004-07	Х	prototype	too sophisticated design, very slow compilation : -(yet many solutions used in v1.0 : -)
2007	0.11	just an update of 0.10	same as 0.10
2007-09	1.0	full genericity	

臣

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Presentation Genericity Comparison

Outline



Current Status
Library
Dynamic Interface

臣

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Presentation Genericity Comparison

The Most Dummy Example

Filling an image ima with the value v:

```
// Java or C -like code
void fill(image* ima, unsigned char v)
{
  for (int i = 0; i < ima->nrows; ++i)
    for (int j = 0; j < ima->ncols; ++j)
        ima->data[i][j] = v;
}
```

Note that we really have here an example very representative of an algorithm and of many pieces of existing code.

Presentation Genericity Comparison

Some Observations 1/2

Kleenex

There are a lot of implicit assumptions about the input:

- The input image has to be 2D;
- its definition domain has to be a rectangle;
- this rectangle shall start at (0,0);
- data cannot be of a different type than "unsigned char";
- last, data need to be stored as a 2D array in RAM.

This is a kleenex code:

"code once, run on one image type"

For instance this routine cannot work on a region of interest of a 2D image having floating values.

EPITA-LRDE 2009 11 / 44

(I)

Presentation Genericity Comparison

Some Observations 2/2

Obfuscation

Working on a particular type of image leads to the presence of implementation details.

This is a dirty kleenex code:

"implementation details obfuscate the actual algorithm"

Furthermore, it is:

- verbose
- error-prone
- hard to maintain.

Presentation Genericity Comparison

Definition

A Generic Algorithm

A generic algorithm is written once (without duplicates) and works on different kind of input

Olena & Milena in a Few Words

EPITA-LRDE 2009 13 / 44

臣

・ロト ・回 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

Presentation Genericity Comparison

Generic algorithm translation

Algorithm:

```
Procedure fill
ima : an image (type: any type I)
```

```
v : a value (type: value type of I)
```

begin

```
for all p in ima domain
```

```
\text{ima}(p) \gets v
```

end

Presentation Genericity Comparison

Example

The basic (common) run:

```
using literal::green;
data::fill(lena, literal::green);
```



・ロト ・回 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

Presentation Genericity Comparison

Example cont'd

Filling only a region of interest (a set of points):

mln_VAR(roi, lena | make::box2d(5,5, 10,10));
data::fill(roi, literal::green);



Э

(a)

Presentation Genericity Comparison

Example cont'd

Filling only points verifying a predicate:

```
mln_VAR(lena_c, lena | fun::p2b::chess());
data::fill(lena_c, literal::green);
```



(a)

Presentation Genericity Comparison

Example cont'd

Likewise, the predicate being a mask image:

mln_VAR(lena_m, lena | pw::value(mask));
data::fill(lena_m, literal::green);



・ロト ・回 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

Presentation Genericity Comparison

Example cont'd

Likewise, relying on an image of labels:

```
mln_VAR(lena_3, lena | (pw::value(label) == 3));
data::fill(lena_3, literal::green);
```



(a)

Presentation Genericity Comparison

Example cont'd

Filling only a component:

mln_VAR(lena_g, fun::access::green << lena);
data::fill(lena_g, literal::green);</pre>



・ロト ・回 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

Presentation Genericity Comparison

Example cont'd

Mixing several "image views":

mln_VAR(lena_g3, lena_g | pw::value(label) == 3); data::fill(lena_g3, literal::green);



(a)

Presentation Genericity Comparison

Some Remarks 1/2

Replace the 2D image by:

- a signal
- a volume
- a graph
- a complex
- etc.

and it works as is ...

臣

・ロト ・回 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

Presentation Genericity Comparison

Some Remarks 2/2

Genericity applies on:

- values of images
- structures of images
- modifiers of images (Cf. previous slides)
- neighborhoods
- functions
- etc.

э

・ロ・・ (日・・ (日・・ (日・)

Presentation Genericity Comparison

Past Limitations

From 0.11 to 1.0

Limitations of version 0.11 did \underline{not} allow to have the previous examples work.

Olena & Milena in a Few Words

EPITA-LRDE 2009 24 / 44

크

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・

Presentation Genericity Comparison

Outline



Current Status
Library
Dynamic Interface

E.

<ロ> <同> <同> < 回> < 回> < 回>

Presentation Genericity Comparison

Four Kinds of Users

- Assemblers: just compose components (algorithms) to solve a problem
- Designers: write new algorithms
- Providers: write new data types
- Architects: focus on the library core

Required skills go increasingly within this list.

Presentation Genericity Comparison

Code Comparison

Image practionners write algorithms...

...so have a look at the same code.

・ロト ・回 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

Presentation Genericity Comparison

Tivoli



Context: TSI, ENST Author: theo Year: 1995 Language: C

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Olena & Milena in a Few Words

臣

Presentation Genericity Comparison

Pink



Context: ESIFE Author: Michel Couprie Year: 1997 Language: C

王

Presentation Genericity Comparison

OpenCV

ci emacso	atest 🔲 🔍 🔍			
File Edit Options Buffers Tools C++ Help				
D 는 🗏 × 🗔 🖪 🥱 🗶 🖻 🖺 🔍 🔯				
Cy_HPL void				
statio CvHorphFunc funcs[36];	IF(Hunost03)			
Codespandiumo funca = 03 Codespandiate Altabate = 03 Codespandiate Altabate = 04 Codespandiate = 04 Codespandiate = 04 Codespandiate = 04	Processor - Contemptional Interconstructure, Deck, Date, Contemption, Date, Date, Contemption, Date, Date, Contemption, Date, Date, Date, Contemption, Date, Date, Contemption, Date, D			
int successor = 0; int successor = 0; int if Define and size	funct(i) = Cohimpifuno) indrostitus_Creas_bu_LDBs funct(i) = Cohimpifuno) indrostitus_Creas_bu_LDBs funct(i) = Cohimpifuno) indrostitus_Creas_bu_CdBs funct(i) = Cohimpifuno) indrostitus_Creas_bu_CdBs funct(i) = Cohimpifuno) indrostitus_Creas_bu_CdBs funct(i) = Cohimpifuno) indrostitus_Creas_bu_CdBs			
Cv21ex dt_2120 Cv21ex	Avac123 = (Collegations) (Collegations) (Collegations) frequentials = (Collegations) (Collegations) frequentials			
CU_COLL (CV_CHECL_HHOCE(arc >>) overbingeRaublat arc, Barc_date, Barc_step, Barc_size >> if(dat != src >	Funci(2) = (Outprifting) informating, Bet, Bet, Funci(3) = (Outprifting) informating, Bet, Bet, Bet, Funcional = (Outprifting) informating (Out			
<pre>CV_DELL CV_DEDC_HMORE det >>; If(so->depth im det>hepht) is no->nChannels im det>homannels > CV_DEPROR IPL_Stabuney, incr and det how different increation >; evolutionaphilabilities (dat_dets_to_tat_toptp_idet_tat_top);</pre>	Area (23) = (Contempting) (and) (Area (25), Carl Structure) (Contempting) (and) (Area (25), Carl Structure) (Contempting) (Conte			
<pre>if(src_size != dst_size) CV_ERROR(IPL_StaBaoWeg, "src and dst have different sizes"); }</pre>	Funds(27) = (UnitorphFund) ioviiliateStrip_Dross_32F_CRF; ICCII. 2000 Funds(28) = (UnitorphFund) ioviiliateStrip_Dross_32F_CRF; funds(29) = (UnitorphFund) ioviiliateStrip_Dross_32F_DRF;			
<pre>dt_st_sta = src_stats dt_state = src_stats dt_state</pre>	Area (30) = (Cultor prime) local late String, BALCER Area (33) = (Cultor prime) local late String, BALCER Area (34) = (Cultor prime) local			
CV_ERROR(IPL_BadDepth, iovUnsupportedFormat);	funcs[0] = (OHorphFunc) IoVerlatestrip_Ser_DHKS funcs[0] = (OHorphFunc) IoVErodeStrip_Rect_Bu_CIR;			
<pre>if(arc='mChannels = 1 && arc='mChannels = 3 && arc='mChannels = 4) CV_ERRO(IPL_BadNumChannels, icvUnsupportedFormat);</pre>	<pre>punce = funcsE(syno-)nChannels >> 1> + (syno->depth == IPL_DEPTH_32F) + 3 +</pre>			
status =	nop × 181;			
<pre>iownorphologuinteniioo BPC_BISE_inter, BPC-Dabert == IPC_BPIH_BUY volume ? volu</pre>	<pre>ro(1 = 0) () terostions() ter) (PEDLC funce codeta, src_step, dst_data, dst_step, &src_size, state, 0 >>> src_data = dst_data; unc_data = dst_data; unc_step = dst_step; </pre>			
shape = CONLINENTSALED (Clement-Monteter) shape = shape < CV_SHAPE_ELLIPSE ? shape : CV_SHAPE_CUSTON; }				
<pre>(</pre>				
shape = UV_SHMPLABUIT	CV_DPE void cvdilate(lplImage H src, lplImage H dst, lplConvKernel H element, int iterations)			
CV_ERROR_FROM_STATUS(status);	<pre>tiovMorph0p(src, dst, element, iterations, 1);</pre>			
- 12% openeor, ee Toy L/2 (C++/) #kkrey/				
	(日)、(日)、(日)、(日)、(日)、(日)、(日)、(日)、(日)、(日)、			

Olena & Milena in a Few Words

Presentation Genericity Comparison

ITK 1/2

F

emers@text _ [0] X							
Ile Edit Options Buffers Tools C++ Help							
Period and the set of	<pre>State State S</pre>	<pre>// Provide Lange (1997) 0:</pre>					

EPITA-LRDE 2009 31 / 44

æ.

(日)

Presentation Genericity Comparison

ITK 2/2



Context: ITK Author: Insight Software Consortium Year: 2006 Language: C++

э

Presentation Genericity Comparison

Milena



Olena & Milena in a Few Words

EPITA-LRDE 2009 33 / 44

王

Library Dynamic Interface

Outline



Comparison



E.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

Library Dynamic Interface

Some Facts

About versions:

- 1.0β released in December 2008
- 1.0 is due to June 10th, 2009

Current version is fully functional and used:

- in large projects:
 - Melimage (funded by INCA)
 - SCRIBO (funded by System@tic)
- in students projects
 - about a dozen per years

(I)

Library Dynamic Interface

Documentation



We have

- a white paper
- a tutorial
- a reference guide

http://www.lrde.epita.fr/dload/doc/milena-1.0/

<ロ> <部> <部> <き> <き>

EPITA-LRDE 2009 36 / 44

Library Dynamic Interface

Entering Milena

Easy? Quick?

From our experiments:

- two days are enough to take Milena in hand
- the learning curve is great.

Library Dynamic Interface

Outline



Comparison



E.

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > 、

Library Dynamic Interface

Static-Dynamic Bridge

Need for a Bridge

On one hand: Milena = efficient C++ generic, thus **static**, code.

On the other hand: a **dynamic** environment (script, interpreter, GUI).

 \Rightarrow A bridge between both worlds is required.

Library Dynamic Interface

Our Solution: Swilena 1/2

Tools

Swilena is the bridge provided in Olena to access Milena from another language.

SPS (Swilena Python Shell) is a command line interpreter.

History:

- architecture sketched in 2000 (GCSE Workshop)
- started in 2002
- functional until version 0.11
- up again in Summer 2008

(I)

Library Dynamic Interface

Our Solution: Swilena 2/2

The how-to

- it works on closed world (a context)
- for a given type, you get access to a subset of the library (for instance, image2d<int_u8>

About writing this bridge

- the starting cost is very quickly amortized
- it can be done in a very modularized way

(I)

Library Dynamic Interface

Sample Code 1/3

Morphological glue:

```
%module morpho
%include "concrete ixx"
/* dilation */
%{
#include "mln/morpho/dilation.hh"
%}
%include "mln/morpho/dilation.hh"
%define instantiate_dilation(Name, I, W)
 %template() mln::trait::concrete < I >;
 %template(Name) mln::morpho::dilation < I, W >;
%enddef
/* morphology */
%define instantiate_morpho(I, W, N)
 instantiate_dilation(dilation, I, W)
 instantiate_erosion(erosion, I, W)
 /* ... */
```

%enddef

э

・ロ ・ ・ 四 ・ ・ 回 ・ ・ 日 ・

Library Dynamic Interface

Sample Code 2/3

A precise world:

```
%module image2d.int
%include "intp.ixx"
%include "image2d.ixx"
instantiate.image2d(image2d_int, int)
%include "window2d.ixx"
%include "neighb2d.ixx"
%include "morpho.ixx"
```

instantiate_morpho(mln::image2d<int>, mln::window2d, mln::neighb2d)

臣

・ロン ・回 と ・ ヨン・

Library Dynamic Interface

Sample Code 3/3

Sample use:

from swilena import *

Module alias. image = image2d_int_u8

Load.
f = image.io_pgm_load("lena.pgm")

Gradient. g = image.morpho_elementary_gradient(f, c4())

Area closing of the gradient. h = image.morpho_closing_area(g, c4(), 50)

Watershed transform. n_basins = int_u8(); w = image.morpho_watershed_flooding(h, c4(), nbasins) print n_basins

```
# Save.
image.io_pgm_save(w, "w.pgm")
```

EPITA-LRDE 2009 44 / 44

Э

・ロ ・ ・ 四 ・ ・ 回 ・ ・ 日 ・