

Compiler Construction

~ Syntactic Sugar ~

Syntactic sugar & Desugaring

Syntactic Sugar

Additions to a language to make it easier to read or write, but that do not change the expressiveness

Desugaring

Higher-level features that can be decomposed into **language core of essential constructs**
⇒ This process is called "desugaring".

Pros & Cons for syntactic sugar

Pros

- More readable, More writable
- Express things more elegantly

Cons

- Adds bloat to the languages
- Syntactic sugar can affect the formal structure of a language

Syntactic Sugar in Lambda-Calculus

The term "syntactic sugar" was coined by Peter J. Landin in 1964, while describing an ALGOL-like language that was defined in term of lambda- calculus
 \Rightarrow goal: replace λ by **where**

Curryfication

$$\lambda xy.e \Rightarrow \lambda x.(\lambda y.e)$$

Local variables

$$\begin{aligned} \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \\ \Rightarrow (\lambda x.e_2).e_1 \end{aligned}$$

List Comprehension in Haskell

```
qs []      = []
qs (x:xs) =
  qs lt_x ++ [x] ++ qs ge_x
  where lt_x = [y | y <- xs,
                 y <  x]
        ge_x = [y | y <- xs,
                 x <= y]
```

List Comprehension in Haskell

Sugared

```
[(x,y) | x <- [1 .. 6],  
         y <- [1 .. x],  
         x+y < 10]
```

Desugared

```
filter p  
  (concat (map  
    (\ x -> map (\ y -> (x,y))  
                [1..x]) [1..6]  
  )  
)  
where p (x,y) = x+y < 10
```

Interferences with error messages

"true" | 42

standard input:1.1-6:

type mismatch

condition type: string

expected type: int

```
function _main() =  
  (  
    (if "true"  
      then 1  
      else (42 <> 0));  
    ()  
  )
```

Sugar in Tiger

Light • if then

Sugar in Tiger

Light

- if then

Regular

- Unary -
- & and |
- Beware of (exp) vs. (exps)

Sugar in Tiger

- Light
 - if then
- Regular
 - Unary -
 - & and |
 - Beware of (exp) vs. (exps)
- Extra
 - for
 - ?: as in GNU C
(a ?: b)
 - where
 - Function overload

Dangerous Desugaring

Suppose we want to introduce an in-bound operator

$$\alpha \leq \beta \leq \gamma$$

Naive translation

```
let
in
  if  $\alpha \leq \beta$  &  $\beta \leq \gamma$ 
  then
    1
  else
    0
end
```

Dangerous Desugaring

Another translation

```
let var _beta :=  $\beta$ 
in
  if  $\alpha \leq$  _beta &
     _beta  $\leq$   $\gamma$ 
  then 1
  else 0
end
```

Dangerous Desugaring

Another (another) translation

```
let var _alpha :=  $\alpha$ 
     var _beta  :=  $\beta$ 
     var _gamma :=  $\gamma$ 
in
  if  _alpha  $\leq$  _beta &
     _beta  $\leq$  _gamma
  then 1
  else 0
end
```

Dangerous Desugaring

Final (and correct) translation

```
let var _alpha :=  $\alpha$ 
    var _beta  :=  $\beta$ 
in
  if _alpha ≤ _beta
  then
    let var _gamma :=  $\gamma$ 
    in
      if _beta ≤ _gamma
      then 1
      else 0
    else 0
  end
```

Basic desugaring

- 1 Walk the AST using a visitor
- 2 Focus on the type of node to be replaced
- 3 Build new ub-AST
- 4 Replace the nodes (and associated sub-trees) by the new sub-AST

Tweasts

Text With Embedded AST

Idea: Is it possible to desugar directly inside of the parser

Advantages:

- Reduce the number of AST classes
- Avoid many desugaring traversals
- Desugaring in concrete syntax

Desugaring

Desugaring in Abstract Syntax

```
exp: exp "&" exp {  
    $$ = new IfExp(@$, $1,  
        new OpExp(@$, $3, OpExp::ne,  
            new IntExp(@2, 0)),  
        new IntExp(@2, 0));  
}
```

Desugaring

Desugaring in Abstract Syntax

```
exp: exp "&" exp {  
    $$ = new IfExp(@$, $1,  
        new OpExp(@$, $3, OpExp::ne,  
            new IntExp(@2, 0)),  
        new IntExp(@2, 0));  
}
```

Desugaring in Concrete Syntax

```
exp: exp "&" exp {  
    $$ = parse::parse(parse::Tweast() <<  
        "if " << $1 << " then " << $3 << "<> 0 else 0");  
}
```

Summary

