Some Programming Language History

Akim Demaille Étienne Renault Roland Levillain first.last@lrde.epita.fr

EPITA — École Pour l'Informatique et les Techniques Avancées

February 10, 2020





2 The Second Wave

3 The Finale

Э

The Tower of Babel [Pigott, 2006]



The Very First Ones

The Very First Ones

- FORTRAN
- ALGOL
- COBOL

2 The Second Wave

3 The Finale

Э

FORTRAN

The Very First Ones FORTRAN ALGOL

COBOL

2 The Second Wave

3 The Finale

A. Demaille, E. Renault, R. Levillain

э

IBM 704 (1956)



IBM Mathematical Formula Translator system

Fortran I, 1954-1956, IBM 704, a team led by John Backus.



The main goal is user satisfaction (economical interest) rather than academic. Compiled language.

- a single data structure : arrays
- comments
- arithmetics expressions
- DO loops
- subprograms and functions
- I/O
- machine independence

Because:

- programmers productivity
- easy to learn
- by IBM
- the audience was mainly scientific
- simplifications (e.g., I/O)

3

FORTRAN I

```
С
      FIND THE MEAN OF N NUMBERS AND THE NUMBER OF
С
      VALUES GREATER THAN IT
      DIMENSION A(99)
      REAL MEAN
      READ(1,5)N
 5
      FORMAT(I2)
      READ(1,10)(A(I),I=1,N)
 10
      FORMAT(6F10.5)
      SUM=0.0
      DO 15 I=1,N
         SUM=SUM+A(I)
 15
      MEAN=SUM/FLOAT(N)
      NUMBER=0
      DO 20 I=1,N
          IF (A(I) .LE. MEAN) GOTO 20
          NUMBER=NUMBER+1
 20
     CONTINUE
      WRITE (2,25) MEAN, NUMBER
      FORMAT(11H MEAN = ,F10.5,5X,21H NUMBER SUP = ,I5) = ,
 25
                                  Some Programming Language History
A. Demaille, E. Renault, R. Levillain
```

10 / 88

		CONTINUATION	FORTRAN STATEMENT	73	IDENTI- FICATION	80
С			PROGRAM FOR FINDING THE LARGEST VALUE			
C		Х	ATTAINED BY A SET OF NUMBERS			
			DIMENSION A(999)			_
		_	FREQUENCY 30(2,1,10), 5(100)			
			READ 1, N, (A(I), I = 1,N)			_
	1		FORMAT (13/(12F6.2))		2	_
		_	BIGA = A(1)			_
	5		DO 20 I = 2,N			
	30		IF (BIGA-A(I)) 10,20,20			_
	10		BIGA = A(I)			
	20		CONTINUE			
			PRINT 2, N, BIGA			_
	2		FORMAT (22H1THE LARGEST OF THESE I3, 12H NUMBERS IS F7.2)			_
			STOP 77777			

Fortrans



A. Demaille, E. Renault, R. Levillain

Some Programming Language History

ALGOL



2 The Second Wave

3 The Finale

The Very First Ones



ALGOL, Demon Star, Beta Persei, 26 Persei

A. Demaille, E. Renault, R. Levillain

Some Programming Language History

ALGOL 58



2 The Second Wave

3 The Finale

A. Demaille, E. Renault, R. Levillain

- Usable for algorithm publications in scientific reviews
- As close as possible to the usual mathematical notations
- Readable without assistance
- Automatically translatable into machine code

- Usable for algorithm publications in scientific reviews
- As close as possible to the usual mathematical notations
- 8 Readable without assistance
- Automatically translatable into machine code

- Usable for algorithm publications in scientific reviews
- As close as possible to the usual mathematical notations
- Readable without assistance
- Automatically translatable into machine code

- Usable for algorithm publications in scientific reviews
- 2 As close as possible to the usual mathematical notations
- O Readable without assistance
- Automatically translatable into machine code

- Usable for algorithm publications in scientific reviews
- As close as possible to the usual mathematical notations
- O Readable without assistance
- Automatically translatable into machine code

 In May 1958, IAL was rejected as an "'unspeakable' and pompous acronym"

- Introduced the fundamental notion of compound statement
 restricted to control flow only
 a not field to identifier scope
- Used during 1959 to publish algorithm in CACM use of ALGOL notation in publication many years
- Primary contribution was to later languages: a basis for JOVIAL Quick, MAD, and NELIAC.
- Early compromise design soon superseded by ALGOL 60

- In May 1958, IAL was rejected as an "'unspeakable' and pompous acronym"
- Introduced the fundamental notion of compound statement
 - restricted to control flow only
 - not tied to identifier scope
- Used during 1959 to publish algorithm in CACM use of ALGOL notation in publication many years
- Primary contribution was to later languages: a basis for JOVIAL Quick, MAD, and NELIAC.
- Early compromise design soon superseded by ALGOL 60

- In May 1958, IAL was rejected as an "'unspeakable' and pompous acronym"
- Introduced the fundamental notion of compound statement
 - restricted to control flow only
 - not tied to identifier scope
- Used during 1959 to publish algorithm in CACM use of ALGOL notation in publication many years
- Primary contribution was to later languages: a basis for JOVIAL Quick, MAD, and NELIAC.
- Early compromise design soon superseded by ALGOL 60

- In May 1958, IAL was rejected as an "'unspeakable' and pompous acronym"
- Introduced the fundamental notion of compound statement
 - restricted to control flow only
 - not tied to identifier scope
- Used during 1959 to publish algorithm in CACM use of ALGOL notation in publication many years
- Primary contribution was to later languages: a basis for JOVIAL Quick, MAD, and NELIAC.
- Early compromise design soon superseded by ALGOL 60

- In May 1958, IAL was rejected as an "'unspeakable' and pompous acronym"
- Introduced the fundamental notion of compound statement
 - restricted to control flow only
 - not tied to identifier scope
- Used during 1959 to publish algorithm in CACM use of ALGOL notation in publication many years
- Primary contribution was to later languages: a basis for JOVIAL Quick, MAD, and NELIAC.
- Early compromise design soon superseded by ALGOL 60

- In May 1958, IAL was rejected as an "'unspeakable' and pompous acronym"
- Introduced the fundamental notion of compound statement
 - restricted to control flow only
 - not tied to identifier scope
- Used during 1959 to publish algorithm in CACM use of ALGOL notation in publication many years
- Primary contribution was to later languages: a basis for JOVIAL Quick, MAD, and NELIAC.
- Early compromise design soon superseded by ALGOL 60

- In May 1958, IAL was rejected as an "'unspeakable' and pompous acronym"
- Introduced the fundamental notion of compound statement
 - restricted to control flow only
 - not tied to identifier scope
- Used during 1959 to publish algorithm in CACM use of ALGOL notation in publication many years
- Primary contribution was to later languages: a basis for JOVIAL Quick, MAD, and NELIAC.
- Early compromise design soon superseded by ALGOL 60

• "Jules Own Version of the International Algorithmic Language."

- Developed to write software for the electronics of military aircraft by Jules Schwartz in 1959.
- Runs the Advanced Cruise Missile, B-52, B-1, and B-2 bombers, C-130, C-141, and C-17 transport aircraft, F-15, F-16, F-18, and F-117 fighter aircraft, LANTIRN, U-2 aircraft, E-3 Sentry AWACS aircraft, Special Operations Forces, Navy AEGIS cruisers, Army Multiple Launch Rocket System (MLRS), Army UH-60 Blackhawk helicopters, F-100, F117, F119 jet engines, and RL-10 rocket engines.

- "Jules Own Version of the International Algorithmic Language."
- Developed to write software for the electronics of military aircraft by Jules Schwartz in 1959.
- Runs the Advanced Cruise Missile, B-52, B-1, and B-2 bombers, C-130, C-141, and C-17 transport aircraft, F-15, F-16, F-18, and F-117 fighter aircraft, LANTIRN, U-2 aircraft, E-3 Sentry AWACS aircraft, Special Operations Forces, Navy AEGIS cruisers, Army Multiple Launch Rocket System (MLRS), Army UH-60 Blackhawk helicopters, F-100, F117, F119 jet engines, and RL-10 rocket engines.

- "Jules Own Version of the International Algorithmic Language."
- Developed to write software for the electronics of military aircraft by Jules Schwartz in 1959.
- Runs the Advanced Cruise Missile, B-52, B-1, and B-2 bombers, C-130, C-141, and C-17 transport aircraft, F-15, F-16, F-18, and F-117 fighter aircraft, LANTIRN, U-2 aircraft, E-3 Sentry AWACS aircraft, Special Operations Forces, Navy AEGIS cruisers, Army Multiple Launch Rocket System (MLRS), Army UH-60 Blackhawk helicopters, F-100, F117, F119 jet engines, and RL-10 rocket engines.

ALGOL 60



2 The Second Wave

3 The Finale

ALGOL 60 Participants at HOPL, 1974



Figure: John Mac Carthy, Fritz Bauer, Joe Wegstein. Bottom row: John Backus, Peter Naur, Alan Perlis [Mac Carthy, 2006]

ALGOL 60: Novelties

• Use of BNF to describe the syntax

- Informal semantics
- Block structure
- Dynamic arrays
- Advanced control flow (if, for...)
- Recursivity

(a)

- Use of BNF to describe the syntax
- Informal semantics
- Block structure
- Dynamic arrays
- Advanced control flow (if, for...)
- Recursivity

(a)

- Use of BNF to describe the syntax
- Informal semantics
- Block structure
- Dynamic arrays
- Advanced control flow (if, for...)

Recursivity

- Use of BNF to describe the syntax
- Informal semantics
- Block structure
- Dynamic arrays
- Advanced control flow (if, for...)
- Recursivity

B + 4 B +
- Use of BNF to describe the syntax
- Informal semantics
- Block structure
- Dynamic arrays
- Advanced control flow (if, for...)

• Recursivity

Э

- Use of BNF to describe the syntax
- Informal semantics
- Block structure
- Dynamic arrays
- Advanced control flow (if, for...)
- Recursivity

Э

3 × 4 3 × -

ALGOL 60: One syntax, three lexics [Mohr, 2004]

Reference language (used in the ALGOL-60 Report)

 $a[i+1] := (a[i] + pi \times r^2) / 6.02_{10}23;$

Publication language

 $a_{i+1} \leftarrow \{a_i + \pi \times r^2\}/6.02 \times 10^{23};$

Hardware representations - implementation dependent

a[i+1] := (a[i] + pi * r²) / 6.02E23; or a(/i+1/) := (a(/i/) + pi * r ** 2) / 6,02e23; or A(.I+1.) .= (A(.I.) + PI * R 'POWER' 2) / 6.02'23.,

ALGOL 60: One syntax, three lexics [Mohr, 2004]

Reference language (used in the ALGOL-60 Report)

 $a[i+1] := (a[i] + pi \times r^2) / 6.02_{10}23;$

Publication language

 $a_{i+1} \leftarrow \{a_i + \pi \times r^2\}/6.02 \times 10^{23};$

Hardware representations – implementation dependent

a[i+1] := (a[i] + pi * r²) / 6.02E23; or a(/i+1/) := (a(/i/) + pi * r ** 2) / 6,02e23; or A(.I+1.) .= (A(.I.) + PI * R 'POWER' 2) / 6.02'23.,

Reference language (used in the ALGOL-60 Report)

 $a[i+1] := (a[i] + pi \times r^2) / 6.02_{10}23;$

Publication language

 $a_{i+1} \leftarrow \{a_i + \pi \times r^2\}/6.02 \times 10^{23};$

Hardware representations – implementation dependent

a[i+1] := (a[i] + pi * r²) / 6.02E23; or a(/i+1/) := (a(/i/) + pi * r ** 2) / 6,02e23; or A(.I+1.) .= (A(.I.) + PI * R 'POWER' 2) / 6.02'23.,

A. Demaille, E. Renault, R. Levillain

for loop syntax

```
<for statement>
           ::= <for clause> <statement>
             <label>: <for statement>
<for clause> ::= for <variable> := <for list> do
<for list> ::= <for list element>
             <for list> . <for list element>
<for list element>
 ::= <arithmetic expression>
    <arithmetic expression> step <arithmetic expression>
                            until <arithmetic expression>
    <arithmetic expression> while <Boolean expression>
```

for step until

for while

```
for newGuess := Improve (oldGuess)
    while abs (newGuess - oldGuess) > 0.0001 dc
    oldGuess := newGuess;
```

for enumerations

```
for days := 31,
if mod( year, 4 ) = 0 then 29 else 28,
31, 30, 31, 30, 31, 31, 30, 31, 30, 31 do
```

for step until

for while

```
for newGuess := Improve (oldGuess)
    while abs (newGuess - oldGuess) > 0.0001 do
    oldGuess := newGuess;
```

for enumerations

```
for days := 31,
if mod( year, 4 ) = 0 then 29 else 28,
31, 30, 31, 30, 31, 31, 30, 31, 30, 31 do
```

for step until

for while

```
for newGuess := Improve (oldGuess)
    while abs (newGuess - oldGuess) > 0.0001 do
    oldGuess := newGuess;
```

for enumerations

```
for days := 31,
    if mod( year, 4 ) = 0 then 29 else 28,
        31, 30, 31, 30, 31, 31, 30, 31, 30, 31 do
```

for complete

```
for i := 3, 7,
    11 step 1 until 16,
    i / 2 while i >= 1,
    2 step i until 32 do
    print (i);
```

FORTRAN was occupying too much room

- Richer than FORTRAN, so more difficult
- IBM tried to impose ALGOL, but clients refused, and even threatened IBM
- FORTRAN compilers were more efficient and smaller
- No standardized I/O

- FORTRAN was occupying too much room
- Richer than FORTRAN, so more difficult
- IBM tried to impose ALGOL, but clients refused, and even threatened IBM
- FORTRAN compilers were more efficient and smaller
- No standardized I/O

- FORTRAN was occupying too much room
- Richer than FORTRAN, so more difficult
- IBM tried to impose ALGOL, but clients refused, and even threatened IBM
- FORTRAN compilers were more efficient and smaller
- No standardized I/O

- FORTRAN was occupying too much room
- Richer than FORTRAN, so more difficult
- IBM tried to impose ALGOL, but clients refused, and even threatened IBM
- FORTRAN compilers were more efficient and smaller
- No standardized I/O

- FORTRAN was occupying too much room
- Richer than FORTRAN, so more difficult
- IBM tried to impose ALGOL, but clients refused, and even threatened IBM
- FORTRAN compilers were more efficient and smaller
- No standardized I/O

ALGOL 60

begin

```
comment The mean of numbers and the number of greater values;
 integer n;
 read(n);
 begin
   real array a[1:n];
   integer i, number;
   real sum, mean;
   for i := 1 step 1 until n do read (a[i]);
   sum := 0;
   for i := 1 step 1 until n do sum := sum + a[i];
   mean := sum / n;
   number := 0;
   for i := 1 step 1 until n do
     if a[i] > mean then
       number := number + 1;
   write ("Mean = ", mean, "Number sups = ", number);
 end
end
```

block,

- call by value, call by name,
- typed procedures,
- declaration scope,
- dynamic arrays,
- own variables,
- side effects,
- global and local variables,

- primary, term, factor,
- step, until, while, if then else,
- bound pair,
- display stack technique,
- thunks,
- activation records,
- recursive descent parser.

block,

- call by value, call by name,
- typed procedures,
- declaration scope,
- dynamic arrays,
- own variables,
- side effects,
- global and local variables,

- primary, term, factor,
- step, until, while, if then else,
- bound pair,
- display stack technique,
- thunks,
- activation records,
- recursive descent parser.

- block,
- call by value, call by name,
- typed procedures,
- declaration scope,
- dynamic arrays,
- own variables,
- side effects,
- global and local variables,

- primary, term, factor,
- step, until, while, if then else,
- bound pair,
- display stack technique,
- thunks,
- activation records,
- recursive descent parser.

- block,
- call by value, call by name,
- typed procedures,
- declaration scope,
- dynamic arrays,
- own variables,
- side effects,
- global and local variables,

- primary, term, factor,
- step, until, while, if then else,
- bound pair,
- display stack technique,
- thunks,
- activation records,
- recursive descent parser.

< 口 > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > 、

- block,
- call by value, call by name,
- typed procedures,
- declaration scope,
- dynamic arrays,
- own variables,
- side effects,
- global and local variables,

- primary, term, factor,
- step, until, while, if then else,
- bound pair,
- display stack technique,
- thunks,
- activation records,
- recursive descent parser.

- block,
- call by value, call by name,
- typed procedures,
- declaration scope,
- dynamic arrays,
- own variables,
- side effects,
- global and local variables,

- primary, term, factor,
- step, until, while, if then else,
- bound pair,
- display stack technique,
- thunks,
- activation records,
- recursive descent parser.

- block,
- call by value, call by name,
- typed procedures,
- declaration scope,
- dynamic arrays,
- own variables,
- side effects,
- global and local variables,

- primary, term, factor,
- step, until, while, if then else,
- bound pair,
- display stack technique,
- thunks,
- activation records,
- recursive descent parser.

- block,
- call by value, call by name,
- typed procedures,
- declaration scope,
- dynamic arrays,
- own variables,
- side effects,
- global and local variables,

- primary, term, factor,
- step, until, while, if then else,
- bound pair,
- display stack technique,
- thunks,
- activation records,
- recursive descent parser.

- block,
- call by value, call by name,
- typed procedures,
- declaration scope,
- dynamic arrays,
- own variables,
- side effects,
- global and local variables,

- primary, term, factor,
- step, until, while, if then else,
- bound pair,
- display stack technique,
- thunks,
- activation records,
- recursive descent parser.

< 口 > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > 、

- block,
- call by value, call by name,
- typed procedures,
- declaration scope,
- dynamic arrays,
- own variables,
- side effects,
- global and local variables,

- primary, term, factor,
- step, until, while, if then else,
- bound pair,
- display stack technique,
- thunks,
- activation records,
- recursive descent parser.

- block,
- call by value, call by name,
- typed procedures,
- declaration scope,
- dynamic arrays,
- own variables,
- side effects,
- global and local variables,

- primary, term, factor,
- step, until, while, if then else,
- bound pair,
- display stack technique,
- thunks,
- activation records,
- recursive descent parser.

- block,
- call by value, call by name,
- typed procedures,
- declaration scope,
- dynamic arrays,
- own variables,
- side effects,
- global and local variables,

- primary, term, factor,
- step, until, while, if then else,
- bound pair,
- display stack technique,
- thunks
- activation records,
- recursive descent parser.

- block,
- call by value, call by name,
- typed procedures,
- declaration scope,
- dynamic arrays,
- own variables,
- side effects,
- global and local variables,

- primary, term, factor,
- step, until, while, if then else,
- bound pair,
- display stack technique,
- thunks,
- activation records,
- recursive descent parser.

- block,
- call by value, call by name,
- typed procedures,
- declaration scope,
- dynamic arrays,
- own variables,
- side effects,
- global and local variables,

- primary, term, factor,
- step, until, while, if then else,
- bound pair,
- display stack technique,
- thunks,
- activation records,
- recursive descent parser.

< 口 > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > 、

- block,
- call by value, call by name,
- typed procedures,
- declaration scope,
- dynamic arrays,
- own variables,
- side effects,
- global and local variables,

- primary, term, factor,
- step, until, while, if then else,
- bound pair,
- display stack technique,
- thunks,
- activation records,
- recursive descent parser.

- block,
- call by value, call by name,
- typed procedures,
- declaration scope,
- dynamic arrays,
- own variables,
- side effects,
- global and local variables,

- primary, term, factor,
- step, until, while, if then else,
- bound pair,
- display stack technique,
- thunks,
- activation records,
- recursive descent parser.

< 口 > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 二 > <

General Here is a language so far ahead of its time that it was not only an improvement on its predecessors but also on nearly all its successors.

— C.A.R. Hoare

ALGOL W



The Second Wave

3 The Finale

A. Demaille, E. Renault, R. Levillain

э

Niklaus Wirth, 1966:

- Agregates (records, structures)
- References (hence lists, trees, etc.)
- Split for into for and while
- Introduction of case (switch)
- Call by value, result, value-result
- New types long real, complex, bits
- Introduction of assert
- String processing functions

Niklaus Wirth, 1966:

- Agregates (records, structures)
- References (hence lists, trees, etc.)
- Split for into for and while
- Introduction of case (switch)
- Call by value, result, value-result
- New types long real, complex, bits
- Introduction of assert
- String processing functions

Niklaus Wirth, 1966:

- Agregates (records, structures)
- References (hence lists, trees, etc.)
- Split for into for and while
- Introduction of case (switch)
- Call by value, result, value-result
- New types long real, complex, bits
- Introduction of assert
- String processing functions
- Agregates (records, structures)
- References (hence lists, trees, etc.)
- Split for into for and while
- Introduction of case (switch)
- Call by value, result, value-result
- New types long real, complex, bits
- Introduction of assert
- String processing functions

- Agregates (records, structures)
- References (hence lists, trees, etc.)
- Split for into for and while
- Introduction of case (switch)
- Call by value, result, value-result
- New types long real, complex, bits
- Introduction of assert
- String processing functions

- Agregates (records, structures)
- References (hence lists, trees, etc.)
- Split for into for and while
- Introduction of case (switch)
- Call by value, result, value-result
- New types long real, complex, bits
- Introduction of assert
- String processing functions

- Agregates (records, structures)
- References (hence lists, trees, etc.)
- Split for into for and while
- Introduction of case (switch)
- Call by value, result, value-result
- New types long real, complex, bits
- Introduction of assert
- String processing functions

- Agregates (records, structures)
- References (hence lists, trees, etc.)
- Split for into for and while
- Introduction of case (switch)
- Call by value, result, value-result
- New types long real, complex, bits
- Introduction of assert
- String processing functions

Niklaus Wirth [Wirth, 1999]





ALGOL 68



2 The Second Wave

3 The Finale

Assignments real twice pi = 2 * real pi = 3.1415926;

A. Demaille, E. Renault, R. Levillain

Some Programming Language History

Assignments real twice pi = 2 * real pi = 3.1415926; Complex Expressions (int sum := 0; for i to N do sum +:= f(i) od; sum)

A. Demaille, E. Renault, R. Levillain

Some Programming Language History

33 / 88



Ternary Operator

proc max of real (real a, b) real: (a > b | a | b);

A. Demaille, E. Renault, R. Levillain

Some Programming Language History



Arrays, Functional Arguments

```
proc apply (ref [] real a, proc (real) real f):
    for i from lwb a to upb a do a[i] := f(a[i]) od;
```

User Defined Operators

```
prio max = 9;
```

```
op max = (int a,b) int: (a>b | a | b);
op max = (real a,b) real: (a>b | a | b);
op max = (compl a,b) compl: (abs a > abs b | a | b);
```

```
op max = ([]real a) real:
  (real x := - max real;
  for i from lwb a to upb a
      do (a[i]>x | x:=a[i]) od
      x);
```

Arrays, Functional Arguments

```
proc apply (ref [] real a, proc (real) real f):
    for i from lwb a to upb a do a[i] := f(a[i]) od;
```

User Defined Operators

```
prio max = 9;
```

```
op max = (int a,b) int: (a>b | a | b);
op max = (real a,b) real: (a>b | a | b);
op max = (compl a,b) compl: (abs a > abs b | a | b);
```

```
op max = ([]real a) real:
  (real x := - max real;
  for i from lwb a to upb a
      do (a[i]>x | x:=a[i]) od;
      x);
```

ALGOL and its heirs



35 / 88

COBOL

The Very First Ones FORTRAN

- ALGOL
- COBOL

2 The Second Wave

3 The Finale

A. Demaille, E. Renault, R. Levillain

Some Programming Language History

э

Grace Murray, December 9, 1906 – January 1, 1992



A. Demaille, E. Renault, R. Levillain

Some Programming Language History

Captain Grace Murray-Hopper



A. Demaille, E. Renault, R. Levillain

Some Programming Language History

38 / 88

< ∃ >

Rear Admiral Grace Murray-Hopper



A. Demaille, E. Renault, R. Levillain

Some Programming Language History

< E >

Commodore Grace Murray-Hopper



Life was simple before World War II.

🔓 I seem to do a lot of retiring.

6 In pioneer days they used oxen for heavy pulling, and when one ox couldn't budge a log, they didn't try to grow a larger ox. We shouldn't be trying for bigger computers, but for more systems of computers.

6 Humans are allergic to change. They love to say, "We've always done it this way." I try to fight that. That's why I have a clock on my wall that runs counter-clockwise.

Life was simple before World War II. After that, we had systems.

🕻 I seem to do a lot of retiring.

(In pioneer days they used oxen for heavy pulling, and when one ox couldn't budge a log, they didn't try to grow a larger ox. We shouldn't be trying for bigger computers, but for more systems of computers.

L Humans are allergic to change. They love to say, "We've always done it this way." I try to fight that. That's why I have a clock on my wall that runs counter-clockwise.

Life was simple before World War II. After that, we had systems.

L I seem to do a lot of retiring.

((In pioneer days they used oxen for heavy pulling, and when one ox couldn't budge a log, they didn't try to grow a larger ox. We shouldn't be trying for bigger computers, but for more systems of computers.

L Humans are allergic to change. They love to say, "We've always done it this way." I try to fight that. That's why I have a clock on my wall that runs counter-clockwise.

L Life was simple before World War II. After that, we had systems.

L I seem to do a lot of retiring.

In pioneer days they used oxen for heavy pulling, and when one ox couldn't budge a log, they didn't try to grow a larger ox. We shouldn't be trying for bigger computers, but for more systems of computers.

L Humans are allergic to change. They love to say, "We've always done it this way." I try to fight that. That's why I have a clock on my wall that runs counter-clockwise.

L Life was simple before World War II. After that, we had systems.

L I seem to do a lot of retiring.

In pioneer days they used oxen for heavy pulling, and when one ox couldn't budge a log, they didn't try to grow a larger ox. We shouldn't be trying for bigger computers, but for more systems of computers.

4 U Humans are allergic to change. They love to say, "We've always done it this way." I try to fight that. That's why I have a clock on my wall that runs counter-clockwise.

6 A business' accounts receivable file is much more important than its accounts payable file.

6 6 We're flooding people with information. We need to feed it through a processor. A human must turn information into intelligence or knowledge. We've tended to forget that no computer will ever ask a new question.

6 A business' accounts receivable file is much more important than its accounts payable file.

We're flooding people with information. We need to feed it through a processor. A human must turn information into intelligence or knowledge. We've tended to forget that no computer will ever ask a new question.

- Common Business Oriented Language, end of the 50's.
- The most used language worldwide for a long time.
- Imposed by the DOD, thanks to Grace Hopper:
 - to have a contract, a COBOL compiler was required,
 - any material bought on governmental funding had to have a COBOL compiler.
- A program is composed of divisions.

IDENTIFICATION DIVISION.

PROGRAM-ID. INOUT.

- * Read a file, add information to records, and save
- * as another file.

```
ENVIRONMENT DIVISION.
INPUT-OUTPUT SECTION.
FILE-CONTROL.
```

SELECT INP-FIL ASSIGN TO INFILE. SELECT OUT-FIL ASSIGN TO OUTFILE.

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆三 → ◆三 → ○ ● ● ● ●

COBOL (CONT'D)

DATA DIVISION. FILE SECTION.

FD TNP-FTI. LABEL RECORDS STANDARD DATA RECORD IS REC-IN. 01 REC-IN. 05 α -IN PIC A(4). 05 SP-CH-IN PIC X(4). 05 NUM-IN PIC 9(4). FD OUT-FIL LABEL RECORDS STANDARD DATA RECORD IS REC-OUT. 01 REC-OUT. 05 α -OUT PIC A(4). 05 SP-CH-OUT PIC X(4). 05 NUM-OUT PIC 9(4). 05 EXTRAS PIC X(16).

COBOL (CONT'D)

```
WORKING-STORAGE SECTION.
01
       EOF PIC X VALUE IS 'N'.
PROCEDURE DIVISION.
AA.
         OPEN INPUT INP-FIL
         OPEN OUTPUT OUT-FIL
         PERFORM CC
         PERFORM BB THRU CC UNTIL EOF = 'Y'
         CLOSE INP-FIL, OUT-FIL
         DISPLAY "End of Run"
         STOP RUN
```

COBOL (CONT'D)

BB.

MOVE REC-IN TO REC-OUT MOVE 'EXTRA CHARACTERS' TO EXTRAS WRITE REC-OUT.

CC.

READ INP-FIL AT END MOVE 'Y' TO EOF.

C The use of COBOL cripples the mind; its teaching should, therefore, be regarded as a criminal offense.

— Edsger Dijkstra

(日)

In the 24th century. . . [Goose, 2010]



In the 24th century. . . [Goose, 2010]



New technologies will come and go but COBOL is forever.

A. Demaille, E. Renault, R. Levillain

Some Programming Language History

Э

1 The Very First Ones

2 The Second Wave

- APL
- PL/I
- BASIC
- Pascal & Heirs

3 The Finale

The Very First Ones

2 The Second Wave

- APL
- PL/I
- BASIC
- Pascal & Heirs

3 The Finale
The Second Wave



Kenneth E. Iverson

A. Demaille, E. Renault, R. Levillain

Some Programming Language History

э

APL, in which you can write a program to simulate shuffling a deck of cards and then dealing them out to several players in four characters, none of which appear on a standard keyboard.

— David Given

APL is a mistake, carried through to perfection. It is the language of the future for the programming techniques of the past: it creates a new generation of coding bums.

— Edsger Dijkstra, 1968

b By the time the practical people found out what had happened; APL was so important a part of how IBM ran its business that it could not possibly be uprooted.

— Micheal S. Montalbano, 1982

APL, in which you can write a program to simulate shuffling a deck of cards and then dealing them out to several players in four characters, none of which appear on a standard keyboard.

— David Given

APL is a mistake, carried through to perfection. It is the language of the future for the programming techniques of the past: it creates a new generation of coding bums.

— Edsger Dijkstra, 1968

G By the time the practical people found out what had happened; APL was so important a part of how IBM ran its business that it could not possibly be uprooted.

— Micheal S. Montalbano, 1982

APL, in which you can write a program to simulate shuffling a deck of cards and then dealing them out to several players in four characters, none of which appear on a standard keyboard.

— David Given

APL is a mistake, carried through to perfection. It is the language of the future for the programming techniques of the past: it creates a new generation of coding bums.

— Edsger Dijkstra, 1968

By the time the practical people found out what had happened; APL was so important a part of how IBM ran its business that it could not possibly be uprooted.

— Micheal S. Montalbano, 1982



Some Programming Language History

э

Prime Numbers up to R

$$(\sim R \in R \circ . \times R)/R \rightarrow 1 \downarrow \iota R$$

A. Demaille, E. Renault, R. Levillain

Some Programming Language History

Э

MAPLE	2 1001 - Object Editor - FFT	_ I I X
Object	Edit Breakpoints Signals Options Windows Help	
88	x 🖻 🕲 X Q 🖉 😢 F F X 🖿 🔳 ?	
[0]	Z-FFT A;L;M;P;W;DIO	
[1]	A Calculate complex FFT (Fast Fourier Transform).	
[2]	DIC-0	
[3]	λ-((N-[20W-ρ,λ)ρ2)ρλ A Structure data as 2 by 2 by array	1
[4]	-(1 0=N)/L3,0 A If 2 points loop once, if 1 exit	
[5]	A Compute first quadrant cosine, sine array	
[6]	A Get second quadrant by replication	
[7]	W+(1+pA)pW,0J1×W+ 12002×(1W+4)+W R 120X 18 -0J1×X	
[8]	P+0-0.5	
[9]		_
[10]	T1-W-D(C0_0) 5 (W-11W C Peduce order of W on each loop	
[12]	12. h (t/h) (D-1197 / A Reduce order or w on each loop	
[13]	(MSL=141) 111	
[14]	O Do last stan separately since multiply is not needed	
[15]	L3:Z+. (+/A), [0,5]-/A	
-		
4		<u> </u>
APL On	Index [11;24] Pix time: [29/06/1991 11:00:00	16

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ 三 ● ● ● ●

The Very First Ones

2 The Second Wave

- APL
- PL/I
- BASIC
- Pascal & Heirs

3 The Finale

Be able to address all the needs:

- scientific (floats, arrays, procedures, efficient computation)
- business (fixed points, fast asychronous I/O, string processing functions, search and sort routines)
- real time
- filtering
- bit strings
- lists

By IBM for IBM 360. "Includes" FORTRAN IV, ALGOL 60, COBOL 60 and JOVIAL. Introduction of ON, for exceptions.

1963 FORTRAN VI

- They quickly dropped compatibility: NPL
- 1965, implementation in England of PL/I

IBM 360



æ

IBM 360



- 1442N1 Card reader / punch
 - S/360 CPU, model 30(?)
 - 2260 Display terminal
- 1403N1 Impact printer
 - 2305 Drum storage
 - 2401 Tape storage
 - 2803 Tape control unit
 - 2321 Data cell storage
 - LCS Large core storage device
 - 1443 Impact printer
 - 2821 Control unit
 - 2311 Disk storage
 - 2841 DASD control unit
 - 1052 Console typewriter

= •

1072 Console station

No reserved keywords in PL/I. • IF IF = THEN THEN THEN = ELSE ELSE ELSE = IF

 No reserved keywords in PL/I. IF IF = THEN THEN THEN = ELSE ELSE ELSE = IF • Abbreviations: DCL (not DEC) for DECLARE, PROC for PROCEDURE.

```
• No reserved keywords in PL/I.
IF IF = THEN THEN THEN = ELSE ELSE ELSE = IF
```

- Abbreviations: DCL (not DEC) for DECLARE, PROC for PROCEDURE.
- 25 + 1/3 yields 5.333333333333333 ("undefined" says [IBM,])

```
• 25 + 01/3 behaves as expected..
```

```
• the loop
```

```
DO I = 1 TO 32/2 ,
Statements
```

```
END:
```

is executed zero times.

```
    "Advanced" control structures
GOTD I,(1,2,3,92)
```

Implementation of MULTICS

- No reserved keywords in PL/I. IF IF = THEN THEN THEN = ELSE ELSE ELSE = IF
- Abbreviations: DCL (not DEC) for DECLARE, PROC for PROCEDURE.
- 25 + 1/3 yields 5.333333333333333 ("undefined" says [IBM,])
- 25 + 01/3 behaves as expected...

```
    the loop

        DO I = 1 TO 32/2 ,

        Statements

        END;

        is executed zero times.
    "Advanced" control structure

        COTD I (1 2 3 22)
```

Implementation of MULTICS

```
• No reserved keywords in PL/I.
IF IF = THEN THEN THEN = ELSE ELSE ELSE = IF
```

- Abbreviations: DCL (not DEC) for DECLARE, PROC for PROCEDURE.
- 25 + 1/3 yields 5.333333333333333 ("undefined" says [IBM,])
- 25 + 01/3 behaves as expected...
- the loop

```
DO I = 1 TO 32/2 ,
Statements
END;
```

is executed zero times.

"Advanced" control structures
 GOTO I,(1,2,3,92)

Implementation of MULTICS

```
• No reserved keywords in PL/I.
IF IF = THEN THEN THEN = ELSE ELSE ELSE = IF
```

- Abbreviations: DCL (not DEC) for DECLARE, PROC for PROCEDURE.
- 25 + 1/3 yields 5.333333333333333 ("undefined" says [IBM,])
- 25 + 01/3 behaves as expected...
- the loop

```
DO I = 1 TO 32/2 ,
Statements
END;
```

is executed zero times.

- "Advanced" control structures GOTO I,(1,2,3,92)
- Implementation of MULTICS

```
• No reserved keywords in PL/I.
IF IF = THEN THEN THEN = ELSE ELSE ELSE = IF
```

- Abbreviations: DCL (not DEC) for DECLARE, PROC for PROCEDURE.
- 25 + 1/3 yields 5.333333333333333 ("undefined" says [IBM,])
- 25 + 01/3 behaves as expected...
- the loop

```
DO I = 1 TO 32/2 ,
Statements
END;
```

is executed zero times.

- "Advanced" control structures GOTO I,(1,2,3,92)
- Implementation of MULTICS

```
EXAMPLE : PROCEDURE OPTIONS (MAIN);
  /* Find the mean of n numbers and the number of
     values greater than it */
  GET LIST (N);
  TF N > O THEN
      BEGIN;
      DECLARE MEAN, A(N), DECIMAL POINT
               NUM DEC FLOAT INITIAL(0),
               NUMBER FIXED INITIAL (0)
      GET LIST (A);
      DO I = 1 \text{ TO N};
        SUM = SUM + A(I);
      END
      MEAN = SUM / N;
      DO I = 1 \text{ TO N};
        IF A(I) > MEAN THEN
          NUMBER = NUMBER + 1;
      END
      PUT LIST ('MEAM = ', MEAN,
                 'NUMBER SUP = ', NUMBER);
END EXAMPLE;
```

A. Demaille, E. Renault, R. Levillain

When FORTRAN has been called an infantile disorder, full PL/1, with its growth characteristics of a dangerous tumor, could turn out to be a fatal disease.

— Edsger Dijkstra

(a)

Using PL/I must be like flying a plane with 7000 buttons, switches, and handles to manipulate in the cockpit. I absolutely fail to see how we can keep our growing programs firmly within our intellectual grip when by its sheer baroqueness, the programming language-our basic tool, mind you!-already escapes our intellectual control. And if I have to describe the influence PL/I can have on its users, the

closest metaphor that comes to my mind is that of a drug.

— [Dijkstra, 1972]

The Very First Ones

2 The Second Wave

- APL
- PL/I
- BASIC
- Pascal & Heirs

3 The Finale

- Beginner's All-purpose Symbolic Instruction Code, J. Kemeny et T. Kurtz, 1965.
- Made to be simple and interpreted (NEW, DELETE, LIST, SAVE, OLD, RUN).

```
10 REM FIND THE MEAN OF N
 12 REM NUMBERS AND THE
 14 REM NUMBER OF VALUES
 16 REM GREATER THAN IT
 20 DIM A(99)
 30 INPUT N
 40 \text{ FOR } T = 1 \text{ TO } N
 50 INPUT A(I)
 60 \text{ LET } S = S + A(I)
 70 NEXT I
 80 LET M = S / N
 90 LET K = 0
100 \text{ FOR I} = 1 \text{ TO N}
110 IF A(I) < M THEN 130
120 \text{ LET } \text{K} = \text{K} + 1
130 NEXT I
140 PRINT "MEAN = ", M
150 PRINT "NUMBER SUP = ", K
160 STOP
```

The Very First Ones

2 The Second Wave

- APL
- PL/I
- BASIC
- Pascal & Heirs

3 The Finale

Niklaus Wirth, end of the 60's.

- Keep the ALGOL structure, but obtain FORTRAN's performances.
- repeat, until.
- Enumerated types.
- Interval types.
- Sets.
- Records.
- No norm/standard.

< ∃ >

A command from the DOD in the 70's. Embeded systems.

- Strawman, spec.
- Woodenman,
- Tinman, no satisfying language, hence a competition.
- Ironman,
- Steelman, Ada, the green language, wins. Jean Ichbiah, Honeywell-Bull.

Package, package libraries, rich control structures, in, out, in out, interruptions, exceptions, clock.

Niklaus Wirth.

Modula-2 :

- Module, interface, implementation.
- Uniform syntax.
- Low level features (system programming).
- Processes, synchronization, co-routines.
- Procedure types.

Oberon : Inheritance.

Э

글 🖌 🖌 글 🛌

The Very First Ones

2 The Second Wave

3 The Finale

- K. N. King
- Quotes
- The Quiz

Э

The Very First Ones

2 The Second Wave



A. Demaille, E. Renault, R. Levillain

æ

K. N. King & Jean Ichbiah [King, 1993]



A. Demaille, E. Renault, R. Levillain

K. N. King & Alan Kay [King, 1993]



K. N. King & Dennis Ritchie [King, 1993]



K. N. King & Bjarne Stroustrup [King, 1993]



K. N. King & Niklaus Wirth [King, 1993]



A. Demaille, E. Renault, R. Levillain

The Very First Ones

2 The Second Wave



• The Quiz

æ
COBOL was designed so that managers could read code BASIC was designed for people who are not programmers FORTRAN is for scientists ADA comes from a committee, a government committee no less PILOT is for teachers PASCAL is for students LOGO is for children APL is for Martians FORTH, LISP and PROLOG are specialty languages

A. Demaille, E. Renault, R. Levillain

COBOL was designed so that managers could read code BASIC was designed for people who are not programmers FORTRAN is for scientists ADA comes from a committee, a government committee no less PILOT is for teachers PASCAL is for students LOGO is for children APL is for Martians FORTH, LISP and PROLOG are specialty languages C, however, is for programmers

A. Demaille, E. Renault, R. Levillain

So far we've managed to avoid turning into APL. :-)

(日)

So far we've managed to avoid turning Perl into APL. :-)

Some Programming Language History

(日)

The Very First Ones

2 The Second Wave



- Quotes
- Quotes
- The Quiz

э

Programming Language Inventor or Serial Killer? [Round, 2006]



[Pigott, 2006] An extensive dictionary of programming languages and their relations.

[Pixel, 2007] Syntactic comparison between programming languages.

A. Demaille, E. Renault, R. Levillain

Bibliography I

```
Dijkstra, E. W. (1972).
   The humble programmer.
   Commun. ACM, 15(10):859-866.
   http://www.cs.utexas.edu/users/EWD/ewd03xx/EWD340.PDF.
Goose, A. (2010).
   in the 24th century... — Abstruse Goose.
   2010-12-03.
  Holt, R. C. (1972).
Teaching the fatal disease (or) introductory computer programming
   using PL/I.
   http://plg.uwaterloo.ca/~holt/papers/fatal_disease.html.
Huggins, J. (2006).
   Grace Murray Hopper.
   http://www.jamesshuggins.com/h/tek1/grace_hopper.htm.
```

BM.

Enterprise PL/I for z/OS, version 3.6, language reference, arithmetic operations.

```
King, K. N. (1993).
Photos from history of programming languages ii.
http://www2.gsu.edu/~matknk/hopl.html.
```

```
Mac Carthy, J. (2006).
jmc's web page.
http://www-formal.stanford.edu/jmc/index.html.
```

🖬 Mohr, J. (2004).

Computing science 370 programming languages. http://www.augustana.ca/~mohrj/courses/2004.fall/csc370/ index.html.



HOPL: an interactive roster of programming languages.

- Pixel (2007).

Syntax across languages.

Round, M. (2006).

Programming language inventor or serial killer quiz. http://www.malevole.com/mv/misc/killerquiz/.

Wikipedia (2005).

Wikipedia, free encyclopedia. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Main_Page.

Wirth, N. (1999). Miklaus Wirth Home Page. http://www.cs.inf.ethz.ch/~wirth/.

> <月> < 三> < 三> < 三> < 三>