Exercises on λ -calculus and Deduction Systems

Akim Demaille akim@lrde.epita.fr

EPITA — École Pour l'Informatique et les Techniques Avancées

June 10, 2016

◆□ → ◆母 → ◆注 → ◆注 → 注 の < ⊙

Exercises on λ -calculus and Deduction Systems





Exercises on λ -calculus and Deduction Systems

Э

.

(1) λ -calculus

- Untyped λ -calculus
- Simply Typed λ -calculus

2 Deduction Systems

< ∃ →

э

Untyped λ -calculus

1 λ -calculus

• Untyped λ -calculus

• Simply Typed λ -calculus

2 Deduction Systems

< ∃ →

Э

$$[\lambda z \cdot zz/x]\lambda y \cdot xy \equiv$$

Exercises on λ -calculus and Deduction Systems

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda z \cdot zz/x \end{bmatrix} \lambda y \cdot xy \equiv \lambda y \cdot (\lambda z \cdot zz)y \\ \begin{bmatrix} yy/z \end{bmatrix} (\lambda xy \cdot zy) \equiv$$

A. Demaille

Exercises on λ -calculus and Deduction Systems

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda z \cdot zz/x \end{bmatrix} \lambda y \cdot xy \equiv \lambda y \cdot (\lambda z \cdot zz)y \\ \begin{bmatrix} yy/z \end{bmatrix} (\lambda xy \cdot zy) \equiv \lambda xu \cdot yyu$$

A. Demaille

Exercises on λ -calculus and Deduction Systems

 $(\lambda x \cdot xyx)\lambda z \cdot z \rightarrow$

Exercises on $\lambda\text{-calculus}$ and Deduction Systems

$(\lambda x \cdot xyx)\lambda z \cdot z \rightarrow (\lambda z \cdot z)y(\lambda z \cdot z)$ $(\lambda x \cdot x)((\lambda y \cdot y)x) \rightarrow$

$$\begin{array}{rccc} (\lambda x \cdot xyx)\lambda z \cdot z & \to & (\lambda z \cdot z)y(\lambda z \cdot z) \\ (\lambda x \cdot x)((\lambda y \cdot y)x) & \to & (\lambda x \cdot x)(x) \\ (\lambda x \cdot x)((\lambda y \cdot y)x) & \to & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rccc} (\lambda x \cdot xyx)\lambda z \cdot z & \to & (\lambda z \cdot z)y(\lambda z \cdot z) \\ (\lambda x \cdot x)((\lambda y \cdot y)x) & \to & (\lambda x \cdot x)(x) \\ (\lambda x \cdot x)((\lambda y \cdot y)x) & \to & ((\lambda y \cdot y)x) \\ (\lambda x \cdot x)((\lambda y \cdot y)x) & \stackrel{*}{\to} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (\lambda x \cdot xyx)\lambda z \cdot z & \to & (\lambda z \cdot z)y(\lambda z \cdot z) \\ (\lambda x \cdot x)((\lambda y \cdot y)x) & \to & (\lambda x \cdot x)(x) \\ (\lambda x \cdot x)((\lambda y \cdot y)x) & \to & ((\lambda y \cdot y)x) \\ (\lambda x \cdot x)((\lambda y \cdot y)x) & \stackrel{*}{\to} & x \\ (\lambda x \cdot xx)((\lambda x \cdot xx)y) & \stackrel{*}{\to} \end{array}$$

A. Demaille

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (\lambda x \cdot xyx)\lambda z \cdot z & \to & (\lambda z \cdot z)y(\lambda z \cdot z) \\ (\lambda x \cdot x)((\lambda y \cdot y)x) & \to & (\lambda x \cdot x)(x) \\ (\lambda x \cdot x)((\lambda y \cdot y)x) & \to & ((\lambda y \cdot y)x) \\ (\lambda x \cdot x)((\lambda y \cdot y)x) & \stackrel{*}{\to} & x \\ (\lambda x \cdot xx)((\lambda x \cdot xx)y) & \stackrel{*}{\to} & yy(yy) \\ (\lambda x \cdot xx)((\lambda x \cdot x)y) & \stackrel{*}{\to} \end{array}$$

A. Demaille

$$\begin{array}{rcccc} (\lambda x \cdot xyx)\lambda z \cdot z & \to & (\lambda z \cdot z)y(\lambda z \cdot z) \\ (\lambda x \cdot x)((\lambda y \cdot y)x) & \to & (\lambda x \cdot x)(x) \\ (\lambda x \cdot x)((\lambda y \cdot y)x) & \to & ((\lambda y \cdot y)x) \\ (\lambda x \cdot x)((\lambda y \cdot y)x) & \stackrel{*}{\to} & x \\ (\lambda x \cdot xx)((\lambda x \cdot xx)y) & \stackrel{*}{\to} & yy(yy) \\ (\lambda x \cdot xx)((\lambda x \cdot x)y) & \stackrel{*}{\to} & yy \\ (\lambda x \cdot x)((\lambda x \cdot x)y) & \stackrel{*}{\to} & yy \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcccc} (\lambda x \cdot xyx)\lambda z \cdot z & \to & (\lambda z \cdot z)y(\lambda z \cdot z) \\ (\lambda x \cdot x)((\lambda y \cdot y)x) & \to & (\lambda x \cdot x)(x) \\ (\lambda x \cdot x)((\lambda y \cdot y)x) & \to & ((\lambda y \cdot y)x) \\ (\lambda x \cdot x)((\lambda y \cdot y)x) & \stackrel{*}{\to} & x \\ (\lambda x \cdot xx)((\lambda x \cdot xx)y) & \stackrel{*}{\to} & yy(yy) \\ (\lambda x \cdot xx)((\lambda x \cdot x)y) & \stackrel{*}{\to} & yy \\ (\lambda x \cdot x)((\lambda x \cdot x)y) & \stackrel{*}{\to} & yy \end{array}$$

1 λ -calculus

- Untyped λ -calculus
- Simply Typed λ -calculus

2 Deduction Systems

Э

B X X B X

Type derivations are trees built from the following nodes.

$$\frac{M:\sigma \to \tau \quad N:\sigma}{MN:\tau}$$

Э

토 · · · 토 · · ·

Type derivations are trees built from the following nodes.

$$\frac{M:\sigma \to \tau \quad N:\sigma}{MN:\tau} \qquad \frac{X:\sigma}{M:\tau}$$

Э

문 + · · · · · ·



Type Statements Type $\lambda fx \cdot f(fx)$

Exercises on $\lambda\text{-calculus}$ and Deduction Systems

(D) (B) (E) (E) [E

Type Statements Type $\lambda fx \cdot f(fx)$

$$\vdash \lambda f x \cdot f(f x) : (\sigma \to \sigma) \to \sigma \to \sigma$$

Type Statements Type $\lambda fx \cdot f(fx)$

$$\vdash \lambda f x \cdot f(f x) : (\sigma \to \sigma) \to \sigma \to \sigma$$

$$\frac{[f:\sigma \to \sigma]^{(2)}}{[f:\sigma \to \sigma]^{(2)}} \frac{[f:\sigma \to \sigma]^{(2)}}{[x:\sigma]^{(1)}}$$

$$\frac{[f:\sigma \to \sigma]^{(2)}}{f_{x}:\sigma}$$

$$\frac{f(f_{x}):\sigma}{\frac{f(f_{x}):\sigma \to \sigma}{\lambda x \cdot f(f_{x}):\sigma \to \sigma}} (1)$$

$$\frac{(1)}{\lambda f_{x} \cdot f(f_{x}):(\sigma \to \sigma) \to \sigma \to \sigma} (2)$$

A. Demaille

Exercises on $\lambda\text{-calculus}$ and Deduction Systems

< ロ > < 四 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

9 / 27

臣

Type Statements Type $\lambda xy \cdot x$

Exercises on $\lambda\text{-calculus}$ and Deduction Systems

Type Statements Type $\lambda xy \cdot x$

$\vdash \lambda xy \cdot x : \sigma \to \tau \to \sigma$

Type Statements Type $\lambda xy \cdot x$

$$\vdash \lambda xy \cdot x : \sigma \to \tau \to \sigma$$

$$\frac{[x:\sigma]^{(1)}}{\overline{\lambda y \cdot x: \tau \to \sigma}} (1)$$

A. Demaille

Exercises on $\lambda\text{-calculus}$ and Deduction Systems

★□> >

Deduction Systems

1) λ -calculus



- Natural Deduction
- Sequent Calculus

э

< ∃ >

Natural Deduction

1) λ -calculus

Deduction Systems

 Natural Deduction
 Sequent Calculus

A. Demaille

Exercises on λ -calculus and Deduction Systems

12 / 27

э

Intuitionistic Natural Deduction



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ のへで

Prove $A \land B \Rightarrow B \land A$

A. Demaille

Exercises on λ -calculus and Deduction Systems

14 / 27



Exercises on λ -calculus and Deduction Systems

Prove $A \land (B \lor C) \vdash (A \land B) \lor (A \land C)$

A. Demaille

Exercises on λ -calculus and Deduction Systems



Prove $(A \Rightarrow A) \Rightarrow A \Rightarrow A$ (LOFO-2005)

Remember, \Rightarrow is right-associative.

Remember, \Rightarrow is right-associative.

$$\frac{\begin{bmatrix} A \end{bmatrix}^2 \quad \begin{bmatrix} A \Rightarrow A \end{bmatrix}^1}{A \Rightarrow A} \Rightarrow \mathcal{I}_2$$
$$\frac{A}{(A \Rightarrow A) \Rightarrow A \Rightarrow A} \Rightarrow \mathcal{I}_1$$

Remember, \Rightarrow is right-associative.

$$\frac{\begin{bmatrix} A \end{bmatrix}^2 \quad \begin{bmatrix} A \Rightarrow A \end{bmatrix}^1}{\frac{A}{A \Rightarrow A} \Rightarrow \mathcal{I}_2} \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}$$
$$\frac{(A \Rightarrow A) \Rightarrow A \Rightarrow A}{(A \Rightarrow A) \Rightarrow A \Rightarrow A} \Rightarrow \mathcal{I}_1$$

$$\frac{[A]^1}{A \Rightarrow A} \Rightarrow \mathcal{I}_1$$
$$\frac{(A \Rightarrow A) \Rightarrow A \Rightarrow A}{(A \Rightarrow A) \Rightarrow A \Rightarrow A} \Rightarrow \mathcal{I}$$

A. Demaille

Exercises on λ -calculus and Deduction Systems

Remember, \Rightarrow is right-associative.

A. Demaille

Exercises on λ -calculus and Deduction Systems
Prove $A \Rightarrow B, B \Rightarrow C \vdash A \Rightarrow (B \land C)$ (LOFO-2005)

A. Demaille

Exercises on λ -calculus and Deduction Systems

Prove $A \Rightarrow B, B \Rightarrow C \vdash A \Rightarrow (B \land C)$ (LOFO-2005)

$$\frac{[A]^{1} \quad A \Rightarrow B}{\frac{B}{2}} \Rightarrow \mathcal{E} \qquad \frac{[A]^{1} \quad A \Rightarrow B}{\frac{B}{2}} \Rightarrow \mathcal{E} \qquad B \Rightarrow C}{\frac{C}{C} \land \mathcal{I}} \Rightarrow \mathcal{E} \qquad \frac{B \land C}{A \Rightarrow (B \land C)} \Rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{1}$$

A. Demaille

Exercises on λ -calculus and Deduction Systems

Prove $A \lor B, \neg B \vdash A$ (Intuitionistic) (LOFO-2005)

A. Demaille

Exercises on λ -calculus and Deduction Systems

Prove $A \lor B$, $\neg B \vdash A$ (Intuitionistic) (LOFO-2005)

Recall that $\neg B := B \Rightarrow \bot$.

A. Demaille

Exercises on λ -calculus and Deduction Systems

18 / 27

Prove $A \lor B, \neg B \vdash A$ (Intuitionistic) (LOFO-2005)

Recall that $\neg B := B \Rightarrow \bot$.



1 λ -calculus



• Sequent Calculus

э

E 🕨 🖈 E 🕨

Classical Sequent Calculus

Prove $A \land B \vdash A \land B$

A. Demaille

Exercises on λ -calculus and Deduction Systems

21 / 27



Exercises on λ -calculus and Deduction Systems

Prove $A \land B \vdash A \lor B$

A. Demaille

Exercises on λ -calculus and Deduction Systems

22 / 27

$$\frac{A \vdash A}{A \land B \vdash A} I \land \vdash$$
$$\frac{A \vdash A \land B \vdash A \lor B}{A \land B \vdash A \lor B} \vdash r \lor$$

Exercises on λ -calculus and Deduction Systems

22 / 27

Prove $A \lor B \vdash A \lor B$

A. Demaille

Exercises on λ -calculus and Deduction Systems

23 / 27



Exercises on λ -calculus and Deduction Systems

23 / 27

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ のへで

Prove $(F \land G) \Rightarrow H \vdash (F \Rightarrow H) \lor (G \Rightarrow H)$

A. Demaille

Exercises on λ -calculus and Deduction Systems

24 / 27

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - - シへで

Prove $(F \land G) \Rightarrow H \vdash (F \Rightarrow H) \lor (G \Rightarrow H)$



Prove $(A \Rightarrow A) \Rightarrow A \Rightarrow A$ (LOFO-2005)

A. Demaille

Exercises on λ -calculus and Deduction Systems

25 / 27

Exercises on λ -calculus and Deduction Systems

25 / 27

Prove $A \Rightarrow B, B \Rightarrow C \vdash A \Rightarrow (B \land C)$ (LOFO-2005)

A. Demaille

Exercises on λ -calculus and Deduction Systems

$$\frac{\overline{A \vdash A} \quad \overline{B \vdash B}}{A, A \Rightarrow B \vdash B} \Rightarrow \qquad \overline{A \vdash A} \quad \overline{C \vdash C} \Rightarrow +$$

$$\frac{\overline{A \vdash A} \quad \overline{C \vdash C}}{A, A \Rightarrow C \vdash C} \Rightarrow +$$

$$\frac{\overline{A \vdash A} \quad \overline{C \vdash C}}{A, A \Rightarrow C \vdash C} \Rightarrow +$$

$$\frac{\overline{A \vdash A} \quad \overline{C \vdash C}}{A, A \Rightarrow C \vdash C} \Rightarrow +$$

$$\frac{\overline{A \vdash A} \quad \overline{C \vdash C}}{A, A \Rightarrow C \vdash C} \Rightarrow +$$

$$\frac{\overline{A \vdash A} \quad \overline{C \vdash C}}{A, A \Rightarrow C \vdash C} \Rightarrow +$$

$$\frac{\overline{A \vdash A} \quad \overline{C \vdash C}}{A, A \Rightarrow C \vdash C} \Rightarrow +$$

$$\frac{\overline{A \vdash A} \quad \overline{C \vdash C}}{A, A \Rightarrow C \vdash C} \Rightarrow +$$

$$\frac{\overline{A \vdash A} \quad \overline{C \vdash C}}{A, A \Rightarrow C \vdash C} \Rightarrow +$$

$$\frac{\overline{A \vdash A} \quad \overline{C \vdash C}}{A, A \Rightarrow C \vdash C} \Rightarrow +$$

<u> (ロ) (同) (三) (=) (</u>

Prove $A \lor B, \neg B \vdash A$ (Classical) (LOFO-2005)

A. Demaille

Exercises on λ -calculus and Deduction Systems

Prove $A \lor B, \neg B \vdash A$ (Classical) (LOFO-2005)



A. Demaille

Exercises on λ -calculus and Deduction Systems

27 / 27

BARREN B