## MLRF Lecture 01 J. Chazalon, LRDE/EPITA, 2020

# Introduction to Twin it!

Lecture 01 part 03

### Twin it! overview

A poster game

- X bubbles, all different but
- Y bubbles, which have 1 (and only 1) twin

Your goals

- •
- Find the pairs
- ...

Already done

- Scan the poster
- Stitch the tiles
- Normalize the contrast

**Discussion (3 minutes):** 

- 1. How can we <u>decompose</u> the problem?
- 2. How can we make <u>sure</u> our solution works?
- 3. What should we focus on?



#### Twin it! overview

A poster game

- X bubbles, all different but
- Y bubbles, which have 1 (and only 1) twin

#### Your goals

- Isolate each bubble
- Find the pairs
- Check it works

#### Already done

- Scan the poster
- Stitch the tiles
- Normalize the contrast



## Twin it! underlying problems

Isolate each bubble ⇒ Segmentation
 We provide pre-computed results for this step.

2. Find the pair  $\Rightarrow$  **Matching** 

We will focus on this one. We will use **Template Matching**.





3. Check it works  $\Rightarrow$  **Evaluation** 

We will understand the challenges of this one.



# Template Matching Lecture 01 part 04

## Why template matching?

A simple method which will be useful to understand

- Evaluation challenges
- The ideas behind keypoint detection (next lecture)

It can work in the Twin it! case

- Twice the same texture (in two bubbles of different shape)
- Textures at the same scale, without rotation nor intensity change
- Only need to cope with **translation** (and some **small noise**)



Two arrays of intensities

| 128 | 128 | 10 | 135 | 1 |
|-----|-----|----|-----|---|
| 126 | 126 | 9  | 127 | 1 |
| 126 | 126 | 9  | 126 | 1 |

**I**<sub>1</sub>

| 135 | 130 | 12 |
|-----|-----|----|
| 127 | 128 | 8  |
| 126 | 128 | 9  |

 $I_2$ 

Two arrays of intensities

Take the difference

| 128 | 128 | 10 | 135 | 130 |
|-----|-----|----|-----|-----|
| 126 | 126 | 9  | 127 | 128 |
| 126 | 126 | 9  | 126 | 128 |

**I**<sub>1</sub>

| 135 | 130 | 12 |
|-----|-----|----|
| 127 | 128 | 8  |
| 126 | 128 | 9  |





$$R(x,y)=I_1(x,y)-I_2(x,y)$$
 r

Two arrays of intensities

Take the **absolute** difference

| 128 | 128 | 10 | 135 | 130 |
|-----|-----|----|-----|-----|
| 126 | 126 | 9  | 127 | 128 |
| 126 | 126 | 9  | 126 | 128 |

 $I_1$ 





$$R(x,y) = \left| I_1(x,y) - I_2(x,y) 
ight|$$
 r

Two arrays of intensities

Take the **squared** difference

| 128 | 128 | 10 |   | 135 | 130 |
|-----|-----|----|---|-----|-----|
| 126 | 126 | 9  | • | 127 | 128 |
| 126 | 126 | 9  |   | 126 | 128 |

**I**<sub>1</sub>

| 135 | 130 | 12 |
|-----|-----|----|
| 127 | 128 | 8  |
| 126 | 128 | 9  |





$$R(x,y)=(I_1(x,y)-I_2(x,y))^2$$
 r

Two arrays of intensities

Take the squared difference

Sum the differences

| 128 | 128 | 10 | 135 | 130 |
|-----|-----|----|-----|-----|
| 126 | 126 | 9  | 127 | 128 |
| 126 | 126 | 9  | 126 | 128 |

R

 $I_1$ 



12

8

9

$$S = \sum\limits_{x,y} (I_1(x,y) - I_2(x,y))^2$$

x,y

Two arrays of intensities

Take the **squared** difference

Sum the differences

(Opt.) Normalize so the results belongs to [0, 1].

0: closest / match

1: farthest / no match

"Sum of squared differences" or "SSD"

| 128 | 128 | 10 | 135 | 130 |
|-----|-----|----|-----|-----|
| 126 | 126 | 9  | 127 | 128 |
| 126 | 126 | 9  | 126 | 128 |





#### Template Matching: Sliding comparison

 $I_1$  is a small template T to match against  $I_2$  (just I after).

We rewrite the preceding formula to compute a map R of the shape of I.

Each pixel of R will have the value of the SSD when the top-left pixel of T in on the pixel (x,y) of I.



#### Several approaches $\Rightarrow$ Practice session

Sum of squared differences

$$R(r,c) = \sum\limits_{r',c'} (T(r',c') - I(r+r',c+c')^2)$$

**Cross correlation** 

$$R(r,c) = \sum\limits_{r',c'} (T(r',c') \cdot I(r+r',c+c'))$$

**Correlation coefficient** 

$$R(r,c) = \sum\limits_{r',c'} (T'(r',c') \cdot I'(r+r',c+c'))$$

where:  $T'(r',c') = T(r',c') - 1/(w \cdot h) \cdot \sum_{r'',c''} T(r'',c'')$   $I'(r+r',c+c') = I(r+r',c+c') - 1/(w \cdot h) \cdot \sum_{r'',c''} I(r+r'',c+c'')$ Simply divide by the mean of pixel values

Normed SSD  

$$R(r,c) = \frac{\sum_{r',c'} (T(r',c') - I(r+r',c+c')^2)}{\sqrt{\sum_{r',c'} (T(r',c'))^2 \cdot \sum_{r',c'} I(r+r',c+c')^2}}$$
Normed CCORR  

$$R(r,c) = \frac{\sum_{r',c'} (T(r',c') \cdot I(r+r',c+c'))}{\sqrt{\sum_{r',c'} T(r',c')^2 \cdot \sum_{r',c'} I(r+r',c+c')^2}}$$
Normed CCOEFF  

$$R(r,c) = \frac{\sum_{r',c'} (T'(r',c') \cdot I'(r+r',c+c'))}{\sqrt{\sum_{r',c'} T'(r',c')^2 \cdot \sum_{r',c'} I'(r+x',c+c')^2}}$$
Always the same normalization

#### Several approaches $\Rightarrow$ Practice session

Sum of squared differencesNormed SSD
$$R(r,c) = \sum_{r',c'} (T(r',c') - I(r+r',c+c')^2)$$
Both very similar: just a  
local normalization $c) = \frac{\sum_{r',c'} (T(r',c'))^2 \cdot \sum_{r',c'} I(r+r',c+c')^2}{\sqrt{\sum_{r',c'} (T(r',c'))^2 \cdot \sum_{r',c'} I(r+r',c+c')^2}}$ Cross correlationNormed CCORR $R(r,c) = \sum_{r',c'} (T(r',c') \cdot I(r+r',c+c'))$  $R(r,c) = \frac{\sum_{r',c'} (T(r',c') \cdot I(r+r',c+c'))}{\sqrt{\sum_{r',c'} T(r',c')^2 \cdot \sum_{r',c'} I(r+r',c+c')^2}}$ Correlation coefficientNormed CCOEFF $R(r,c) = \sum_{r',c'} (T'(r',c') \cdot I'(r+r',c+c'))$  $R(r,c) = \frac{\sum_{r',c'} (T'(r',c') \cdot I'(r+r',c+c'))}{\sqrt{\sum_{r',c'} T'(r',c')^2 \cdot \sum_{r',c'} I'(r+x',c+c')^2}}$ where: $T'(r',c) = T(r',c') - 1/(w \cdot h) \cdot \sum_{r',c''} I(r+r'',c+c')$ Always the same  
normalizationT'(r',c') = I(r+r',c+c') - 1/(w \cdot h) \cdot \sum\_{r',c''} I(r+r'',c+c'')Image: 16

#### Several approaches $\Rightarrow$ Practice session

Sum of squared differencesThe smaller (close to 0),  
the more similarmed SSD
$$R(r,c) = \sum_{r',c'} (T(r',c') - I(r+r',c+c')^2)$$
 $R(r,c) = \frac{\sum_{r',c'} (T(r',c'))^2 \cdot \sum_{r',c'} I(r+r',c+c')^2}{\sqrt{\sum_{r',c'} (T(r',c'))^2 \cdot \sum_{r',c'} I(r+r',c+c')^2}}$ **Cross correlation**The larger,  
the more similar $R(r,c) = \sum_{r',c'} (T(r',c') \cdot I(r+r',c+c'))$  $R(r,c) = \frac{\sum_{r',c'} (T(r',c') \cdot I(r+r',c+c'))}{\sqrt{\sum_{r',c'} T(r',c')^2 \cdot \sum_{r',c'} I(r+r',c+c')^2}}$ **Correlation coefficient**The larger,  
the more similar $R(r,c) = \sum_{r',c'} (T'(r',c') \cdot I'(r+r',c+c'))$  $R(r,c) = \frac{\sum_{r',c'} (T'(r',c') \cdot I'(r+r',c+c'))}{\sqrt{\sum_{r',c'} T(r',c')^2 \cdot \sum_{r',c'} I(r+r',c+c')^2}}$ where:  
 $T'(r',c') = T(r',c') - 1/(w \cdot h) \cdot \sum_{r',c''} I(r+r'',c+c'')$  $R(r,c) = \frac{\sum_{r',c'} (T'(r',c') \cdot I'(r+r',c+c'))}{\sqrt{\sum_{r',c'} T'(r',c')^2 \cdot \sum_{r',c'} I'(r+x',c+c')^2}}$ where:  
 $T'(r',c') = I(r+r',c+c') - 1/(w \cdot h) \cdot \sum_{r',c''} I(r+r'',c+c'')$  $Always the same normalization$ 

17

#### Cross correlation: 2 things to know

$$R(r,c) = \sum\limits_{r',c'} (T(r',c') \cdot I(r+r',c+c'))$$

More robust to intensity shifts (as long as gradients "agree") than SSDSSD: X+offset - X = offsetCCORR: (X+offset)  $\cdot$  X  $\cong$  X<sup>2</sup>

Base version requires to **normalize T by its mean** 

Otherwise large image values always produce better matches Not necessary for CCOEFF

