Compiler Construction

 \sim Further with type checking \checkmark

Goal

How to handle objects?

Goal

How to handle objects?

We need more definitions!

Subtyping

The notation $X \leq Y$ means:

- X is a sub class of Y
- X comforts to Y
- An object of type X can be used when an object of type Y would have been acceptable

Subtyping

The notation $X \leq Y$ means:

- X is a sub class of Y
- X comforts to Y
- An object of type X can be used when an object of type Y would have been acceptable

```
\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Remark on transitivity} \\ X \leq Z \mbox{ and } Z \leq Y \implies X \leq Y \end{array}
```

Robustness Theorem

Robustness Theorem

 $\forall E, dynamic_type(E) \leq static_type(E)$

In most OO languages:

- Sub classes can only add more attributes or methods
- Methods can be redefined but only with same types

Not true in Eiffel

Problem statement

Consider the rule.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{c}: \mathsf{int} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \mathsf{A} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \mathsf{B}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{if} \mathsf{c} \mathsf{ then} e_1 \mathsf{ else} e_2 \mathfrak{:} \mathfrak{??}}$$

And this inheritance diagram.



Least Upper Bound

Least Upper Bound (LUB)

LUB(X,Y) denotes the least upper bound to X and Y.

LUB(X,Y) = Z iff

- $X \le Z$ and $Y \le Z$ (Z is a super class)
- and $X \leq Z'$ and $Y \leq Z' \implies Z \leq Z'$

Compute static information.

Rewrite the if rule

Generalization.

 $\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{c}: \mathsf{int} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \mathsf{A} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \mathsf{B}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{if} \mathsf{c} \mathsf{ then} e_1 \mathsf{ else} e_2 : \mathsf{LUB}(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{B})}$

With LUB(A,B) = Z.



How to implement \leq ?

From / To	Class Type	Primitive Type	Array Type	Null Type	Error Type
Class Type	if same or inherits	No	No	No	No
Primitive Type	No	if same	No	No	No
Array Type	No	No	if underly- ing types match	No	No
Null Type	Yes	No	No	Yes	No
Error Type	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Deeper inside overloading

What about overloading?

Simple overloading (without inheritance)

Life is simple!

- Consider all overloaded functions
- Pilter unsuitable functions
- If exactly one function remains: pick it!
- Otherwise (none or more than one function) report error

Overloading with inheritance (1/7)

Consider these functions:

void foo (Base, Base); void foo (Base, Derived); void foo (Derived, Derived);

With this hierarchy:



Overloading with inheritance (2/7)

void foo (Base, Base); void foo (Base, Derived); void foo (Derived, Derived);

How to handle

Overloading with inheritance (3/7)

void foo (Base, Base); void foo (Base, Derived); void foo (Derived, Derived);

How to handle

Overloading with inheritance (4/7)

void foo (Base, Base); void foo (Base, Derived); void foo (Derived, Derived);

How to handle

Overloading with inheritance (5/7)

void foo (Base, Base); void foo (Base, Derived); void foo (Derived, Base);

How to handle

Overloading with inheritance (6/7)

void foo (Base, Base); void foo (Base, Derived); void foo (Derived, Base);

How to handle

Overloading with inheritance (6/7)

Pick the best specialization!

Partial Ordering

- Consider 2 functions A and B
- A has form A(A₁, .., A_n)
- B has form $B(B_1, ..., B_n)$

• $A \leq B$ iff $\forall i \in [1..n] A_i \leq B_i$

If a best specialization exists, pick it Otherwise the call is **ambiguous**

Overloading and variadic functions (1/2)

Consider these functions:

void foo (Base, Base); void foo (Base, Derived); void foo (Derived, ...);

Calling

is either ambigous or preference is given to non-variadic function (C++)

Overloading and variadic functions (2/2)

How to handle that?

- Build a hierarchy with the set of candidates (functions)
- Each level group functions of the same "abstraction" level
- Start by the lower level
- Filter unsuitable functions
- If only one function remains pick it
- If multiple functions remain : ambiguous call
- Otherwise start over from the next level

Summary

