Compiler Construction

∼ Clever Translations
 ∽

Translating expressions

How to translate the expression $\alpha < \beta$ in HIR?

Naive translation

```
eseq
  seq
   cjump (\alpha < \beta) 1true 1false
   label ltrue
   move temp t const 1
   jump lend
   label lfalse
   move temp t const 0
   label lend
 seq end
  temp t
```

Closer look to the naive translation

Naive translation is costly

- one cjump
- one jump
- two label
- one temporary

 \Rightarrow Can we do better?

Note: *jumps and cjumps are costly is the microprocessor*

 \Rightarrow We must try to minimize them!

Can we exploit additional information?

```
let \alpha < \beta, \alpha < \beta, end
```

In this situation we don't care about the translation of <

⇒ We are only interested about side

 \Rightarrow We are only interested about side effect of α and β

Improved translation

```
\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{seq} \\ \operatorname{sxp} \ \alpha \\ \operatorname{sxp} \ \beta \\ \operatorname{seq} \ \operatorname{end} \end{array}
```

Improved translation

```
\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{seq} \\ \operatorname{sxp} \ \alpha \\ \operatorname{sxp} \ \beta \\ \operatorname{seq} \ \operatorname{end} \end{array}
```

- 0 cjump / 0 jumps
- 0 label
- 0 temporary

 \Rightarrow Better!

6/15

Yet another example

In this situation a naive translation would produce a lot of useless jump/cjump

Improved translation

```
cjump \alpha < \beta ltrue, lfalse label ltrue /* TRUE translation */ label lfalse /* FALSE translation */
```

Only one cjump (and one jump an the end of ltrue)!

⇒ Better than the naive translation!

Translating Conditions

What is the right translation for $\alpha < \beta$, with α and β two arbitrary expressions?

It depends on the use:

- if $\alpha < \beta$ then ...
- $a := \alpha < \beta$
- **3** $(\alpha < \beta, ()).$

Problem statement

When the visitor is about to translate $\alpha < \beta$, it does not know the context.

Context Sensitive Translation

- The right translation depends upon the use. This is context sensitive!
- How to implement this?
 - When entering an IfExp, warn "I want a condition",
 - then, depending whether it is an expression or a statement, warn "I want an expression" or "I want a statement".
- Don't forget to preserve the demands of higher levels...
- Eek.

Rather, delay the translation until the use is known:

- Ex Expression shell, encapsulation of a proto value,
- Nx Statement shell, encapsulating a wannabe statement,
- Cx Condition shell, encapsulating a wannabe condition.

Exp	un_nx	un_ex	un_cx (t, f)
Ex(e)			
Cx(a < b)			
Nx(s)			

Rather, delay the translation until the use is known:

- Ex Expression shell, encapsulation of a proto value,
- Nx Statement shell, encapsulating a wannabe statement,
- Cx Condition shell, encapsulating a wannabe condition.

Exp	un_nx	un_ex	un_cx (t, f)
Ex(e)	sxp(e)		
Cx(a < b)			
Nx(s)			

Rather, delay the translation until the use is known:

- Ex Expression shell, encapsulation of a proto value,
- Nx Statement shell, encapsulating a wannabe statement,
- Cx Condition shell, encapsulating a wannabe condition.

Exp	un_nx	un_ex	un_cx (t, f)
Ex(e)	sxp(e)	e	
Cx(a < b)			
Nx(s)			

Rather, delay the translation until the use is known:

- Ex Expression shell, encapsulation of a proto value,
- Nx Statement shell, encapsulating a wannabe statement,
- Cx Condition shell, encapsulating a wannabe condition.

Exp	un_nx	un_ex	un_cx (t, f)
Ex(e)	sxp(e)	e	cjump(e \neq 0, t, f)
Cx(a < b)			
Nx(s)			

Rather, delay the translation until the use is known:

- Ex Expression shell, encapsulation of a proto value,
- Nx Statement shell, encapsulating a wannabe statement,
- Cx Condition shell, encapsulating a wannabe condition.

Exp	un_nx	un_ex	un_cx (t, f)
Ex(e)	sxp(e)	e	cjump(e \neq 0, t, f)
Cx(a < b)	seq(sxp(a), sxp(b))		
Nx(s)			

Rather, delay the translation until the use is known:

- Ex Expression shell, encapsulation of a proto value,
- Nx Statement shell, encapsulating a wannabe statement,
- Cx Condition shell, encapsulating a wannabe condition.

Exp	un_nx	un_ex	un_cx (t, f)
Ex(e)	sxp(e)	e	$cjump(e \neq 0, t, f)$
Cx(a < b)	seq(sxp(a), sxp(b))	$eseq(t \leftarrow (a < b), t)$	
Nx(s)			

Rather, delay the translation until the use is known:

- Ex Expression shell, encapsulation of a proto value,
- Nx Statement shell, encapsulating a wannabe statement,
- Cx Condition shell, encapsulating a wannabe condition.

Exp	un_nx	un_ex	un_cx (t, f)
Ex(e)	sxp(e)	e	cjump(e \neq 0, t, f)
Cx(a < b)	seq(sxp(a), sxp(b))	$eseq(t \leftarrow (a < b), t)$	cjump(a < b, t, f)
Nx(s)			

Rather, delay the translation until the use is known:

- Ex Expression shell, encapsulation of a proto value,
- Nx Statement shell, encapsulating a wannabe statement,
- Cx Condition shell, encapsulating a wannabe condition.

Exp	un_nx	un_ex	un_cx (t, f)
Ex(e)	sxp(e)	e	$cjump(e \neq 0,t,f)$
Cx(a < b)	seq(sxp(a), sxp(b))	$eseq(t \leftarrow (a < b), t)$	cjump(a < b, t, f)
Nx(s)	S		

Rather, delay the translation until the use is known:

- Ex Expression shell, encapsulation of a proto value,
- Nx Statement shell, encapsulating a wannabe statement,
- Cx Condition shell, encapsulating a wannabe condition.

Exp	un_nx	un_ex	un_cx (t, f)
Ex(e)	sxp(e)	e	cjump(e \neq 0, t, f)
Cx(a < b)	seq(sxp(a), sxp(b))	$eseq(t \leftarrow (a < b), t)$	cjump(a < b, t, f)
Nx(s)	S	error	

Rather, delay the translation until the use is known:

- Ex Expression shell, encapsulation of a proto value,
- Nx Statement shell, encapsulating a wannabe statement,
- Cx Condition shell, encapsulating a wannabe condition.

Exp	un_nx	un_ex	un_cx (t, f)
Ex(e)	sxp(e)	e	cjump(e \neq 0, t, f)
Cx(a < b)	seq(sxp(a), sxp(b))	$eseq(t \leftarrow (a < b), t)$	cjump(a < b, t, f)
Nx(s)	S	error	error

```
cjump ne
   eseq seq cjump 11 < 22 name 10 name 11
            label 10 move temp t0 const 1
                    jump name 12
            label 11
                   move temp t0
                    eseq seq move temp t1 const 1
                           cjump 22 < 33 name 13 name 14
                           label 14
                           move temp t1 const 0
                           label 13
                        seq end
                        temp t1
                   jump name 12
            label 12
       seq end
       temp t0
   const 0
   name 15
   name 16
jump name 17
jump name 17
label 17
```

A Better Translation: Ix

```
cjump 11 < 22 name 13 name 14
label 13
cjump 1 <> 0 name 10 name 11
label 14
cjump 22 < 33 name 10 name 11
seq end
label 10
sxp call name print_int const 1
jump name 12
label 11
sxp call name print_int const 0
label 12
```

Summary

