## Compiler Construction

$\sim$ Single Static Assignment $\sim$

## Preliminary remark

Almost all data flow analysis simplify when variables are defined once.
$\Rightarrow$ No kills in dataflow analysis

## Single Static Assignment intuition

66
A program is defined to be in SSA form if each variable is a target of exactly one assignment statement in the program text.

## Idea Behind SSA

- Start with CFG
- Give each definition a fresh name
- Propagate fresh name to subsequent uses

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll|l}
\mathrm{x} \quad:=\mathrm{n} \\
\mathrm{y} & :=\mathrm{m} \\
\mathrm{x} & :=\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y} \\
\text { return } \mathrm{x}
\end{array} \right\rvert\, \text { No SSA }
$$

$$
\left|\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{x} 0 \quad:=\mathrm{n} \\
\mathrm{y} 0 \quad:=\mathrm{m} \\
\mathrm{x} 1 \quad:=\mathrm{x} 0+\mathrm{y} 0 \\
\text { return } \mathrm{x} 1
\end{array}\right| \text { SSA }
$$

## Problem with control flow merges (1/2)



## Problem with control flow merges (1/2)



## The Solution

- Introduce a notational fiction called a $\phi$-function
- This $\phi$-function can combine multiple definitions coming from multiple basic blocks
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The expression $\mathbf{y} \mathbf{3}:=\phi(\mathbf{y} \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{y} \mathbf{2})$ means that $y 3$ will hold either the value of $y 1$ or the value of $y 2$ (depending on the execution).

## Remark



> How does the $\phi$-function know which edge was taken?
> We can "implement" the $\phi$-function using a MOVE on each/every incoming edge.

## Back to the example



## A word on loops (1/2)

How to handle loops


## A word on loops (2/2)



## CFG to SSA, Naively

(1) Insert phi nodes in each basic block except the start node
(2) Calculate the dominator tree
(3) Traverse the dominator tree in a breadth-first fashion:

- give each definition of $x$ a fresh index
- propagate that index to all of the uses


## Remarks

## About $\phi$-node insertion

Could limit insertion to nodes with more than 1 predecessor

## About index-propagation

- Propagate to each use of x that is not killed by a subsequent definition.
- Propagate the last definition of x to the successors' phi nodes


## Example



Only basic block are represented for clarity

## Insert $\phi$-nodes



## Compute Dominators



A node d dominates a node $n$ if every path of directed edges from the initial state ( $s_{0}$ ) to $n$ must go through d. Can be computed with DFS or equations.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{D}\left[s_{0}\right] & =\left\{s_{0}\right\} \\
\mathrm{D}[n] & =\{n\} \cup\left(\bigcap_{p \in \operatorname{pred}[n]} \mathrm{D}[p]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Processing B1



## Processing B2



## Processing B3



## Processing B4



## Clean up using copy propagation and dead code elimination



## Smarter Algorithm for CFG to SSA

## Definition

The dominance frontier of n is the set of all nodes w such that

- n dominates a predecessor of w
- n does not strictly dominate w
(1) Compute the dominance frontier
(2) Use dominance frontier to place phi nodes
- Whenever block n defines x , put a phi node for $x$ in every block in the dominance frontier of $n$
(3) Do renaming pass using dominator tree


## Summary



